Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Fuel Communications



journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com/journal/fuel-communications

Hydrothermal carbonization of plastic waste: A review of its potential in alternative energy applications

Clovis Awah Che^a, Philippe M. Heynderickx^{a,b,*}

a Center for Environmental and Energy Research (CEER), Engineering of Materials via Catalysis and Characterization, Ghent University Global Campus, 119-5 Songdo Munhwa-ro, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon 406-840, Republic of Korea

^b Department of Green Chemistry and Technology, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
Keywords: Hydrothermal carbonization Plastic waste to energy Plastic hydrochar Sustainability	The significant rise in plastic consumption and waste generation, coupled with the urgent need for sustainable energy solutions, has led to innovative research seeking to convert plastic waste into valuable resources. This review focuses on the application of hydrothermal carbonization as a promising technique for transforming plastic waste into valuable products. It highlights the suitability of hydrothermal carbonization for plastic waste conversion, and presents recent reports showing promising results, prospects, and a range of potential hydrochar applications, including solid recovered fuels, catalysts, direct carbon fuel cells and supercapacitors. This review further presents the challenges in utilizing plastic hydrochar across different applications, which include feed- stock variability, contamination, scalability, material properties, and environmental considerations. The need for optimized synthesis methods, stable performance, and long-term sustainability is also emphasized. The critical evaluation of the applications of hydrothermal carbonization can contribute to advancing sustainable waste

1. Introduction

Since the onset of large-scale plastic production in the 1940s, there has been a significant increase in the production of plastic, reaching a cumulative global production of 9.5 billion tonnes in 2019 [1]. By 2021, annual global plastic production had reached over 390 mT, with China emerging as the foremost producer, contributing approximately 32 percent of global plastic production [2]. Even during the COVID pandemic, China continuously produced around 7 mT of plastic products monthly, whereas in 2020, Europe witness a decline in plastic production, followed by an increase to 58.7 mT in 2022 [3]. North America, which is the second largest producer, contributed 18 percent in global plastic production in 2021 and approximately 56.9 mT were produced in 2022 accounting to 20 % of global production. According to data published by Statista, 2023 [2] the global plastic market reached a value of 712 billion U.S. dollars in 2023 and has anticipated substantial growth in the coming decade.

This increase in plastic production has resulted in a corresponding increase in plastic waste. Between 2000 and 2019, annual global plastic waste generation doubled to 353 mT [1,4]. Plastics with lifetimes of less than five years make up over two-thirds of all plastic waste, with packaging accounting for 40 %, consumer products 12 %, apparel and textiles 11 % (Fig. 1). Only 9 % of plastic waste is recycled (while 15 % of plastic waste is collected for recycling, 6 % is ultimately discarded as recycling residue), 19 % of plastic waste is burnt, 50 % is disposed in landfills, and 22 % ends up in uncontrolled dumpsites, open pits, or in terrestrial or aquatic habitats, often in impoverished nations. In 2019, 6.1 mT (Mt) of plastic waste escaped into aquatic habitats, with 1.7 Mt

Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfueco.2023.100103

Received 23 October 2023; Received in revised form 8 December 2023; Accepted 16 December 2023 Available online 17 December 2023

2666-0520/© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/).

Acronyms and abbreviations: ABS, Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene; C, Flammability index; CHF, Critical heat flux; CI, Combustion characteristic index; DCFC, Direct carbon fuel cell; D_i, Ignition index; D_f, Burnout index; EG, Ethylene glycol; GHG, Greenhouse gas; HEVs, Hybrid Electric Vehicles; H_f, Index of intensity; HGI, Hardgrove grindability index; HIPS, High impact polystyrene; HTC, Hydrothermal carbonization; HTL, Hydrothermal liquefaction; HTG, Hydrothermal gasification; IPA, Isopropyl alcohol; NiO-SDC, Nickel Oxide and Samarium-Doped Ceria; NRF, National Research Foundation; OECD, The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development; PC, Polycarbonate; Pc, Critical pressure; PE, Polyethylene; PEMFC, Proton exchange membrane fuel cells; PET, Polyethylene Terephthalate; PP, Polypropylene; PS, Polystyrene; PVC, Polyvinyl Chloride; S, Combustion performance index; SRF, Solid recovered fuel; T_c, Critical temperature; T_f, Burnout temperature; T_i, Ignition temperature; T_D, Peak temperature; TG-DTG, Thermogravimetry-derivative thermogravimetry; TPA, Terephthalic acid.

E-mail address: Philippe.Heynderickx@Ghent.ac.kr (P.M. Heynderickx).

flowing into seas. There is an estimated 30 Mt of plastic debris in the seas and oceans, with an added 109 Mt accumulating in rivers.

Among these plastics, thermoplastics are extensively produced, used and discarded, such as polyethylene terephthalate (PET), high-density polyethylene (HDPE), polyvinyl chloride (PVC), low-density polyethylene (LDPE), polypropylene (PP), polystyrene (PS), and others. Fig. 2 shows the global primary plastic production and its corresponding waste, generated by polymer type for 2019, where 109.9 mT of polyethylene (HDPE and LDPE) were produced, and 93.9 mT of plastic were generated (Fig. 2).

Plastic production is an energy intensive process [1,4]. In 2015, plastic production consumed about 6 % of global electricity generated from coal [5,6]. The energy used in plastic production (including the energy of raw materials) is 62-108 MJ kg⁻¹, much higher than that for paper, wood, glass, and metals [7]. By 2050, plastic production and processing could account for up to 20 % of global oil consumption and 15 % of annual carbon emission budgets [7,8]. Upgrading plastic into solid fuel or other energy-related purposes [9] could contribute to offsetting the energy input from coal with corresponding emission reductions [10,11]. About 73 % of anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are from energy could also offset GHG emissions [12].

Plastic waste accumulation has emerged as a major environmental concern worldwide [13], with limited effective management strategies. Simultaneously, the increasing demand for circularity and alternative energy sources has led to the exploration of unconventional feedstocks that can contribute to a more sustainable future [11,14].

The concept of hydrothermal carbonized plastics epitomizes the synergy between scientific advancement and environmental stewardship [15–17]. Hydrothermal carbonized plastics represent a groundbreaking solution at the intersection of sustainability [18], renewable energy, and net-zero goals [19]. This innovative process of transforming discarded plastics into a valuable resource has potential far-reaching implications for sustainable transport, renewable energy generation, and achieving net-zero emissions [19] and can be a powerful tool to drive the transition towards cleaner energy alternatives. Plastic-based hydrochar could be utilized as a renewable fuel [20], powering vehicles and machinery, thus reducing our reliance on finite fossil fuels and curbing greenhouse gas emissions [19].

In the realm of sustainable transport, plastic hydrochar has the potential to revolutionize the way vehicles are powered [21–25]. Integrating plastic hydrochar into fuel systems would be a significant step towards achieving emission reduction targets and fostering cleaner air quality in urban areas [26]. This aligns with the global push for greener transportation options, helping to create a more environmentally friendly and efficient mobility landscape. As nations strive to eliminate or offset their carbon emissions, this innovation can serve as a critical bridge towards a carbon-neutral future [26]. Through the diversion of plastic waste from landfills and incineration [13,19,27], contributions to carbon capture and reduction endeavors are made, consequently accelerating the trajectory towards a sustainable environment.

This review aims to evaluate the potential of hydrothermal carbonization of plastics as a promising technique in transforming plastic waste into solid recovered fuels, catalysts, direct carbon fuel cell and supercapacitors etc., thereby addressing both the environmental issues associated with plastic waste and the need for alternative energy applications.

The paper will first delve into the principles of hydrothermal carbonization and its suitability for transforming plastic waste, and then examine the potential of hydrothermally carbonized plastic waste (hydrochar) as solid recovered fuels, supercapacitors, catalysts, and direct carbon fuel cell, highlighting promising results in recent studies. Finally, the challenges and prospects of this technology will be discussed, shedding light on potential advancements and opportunities for further research. By exploring the potential applications of hydrothermal carbonization of plastic waste, this review seeks to contribute to the growing body of knowledge in sustainable waste management, renewable energy production, net zero goals, fuel, and sustainable transport.

2. Hydrothermal technology

2.1. The hydrothermal processes

Hydrothermal processes take place at high temperatures under high saturated pressures that trigger a set of reactions which change the physicochemical properties of water (density, permittivity, ionic product), producing energy-dense fuels and valuable products or chemicals [28,29]. Compared with other waste recovery technologies, hydrothermal processes can handle a variety of feedstock, from low to high-water content and operate at lower temperatures. Hydrothermal techniques can target specific molecules or functional groups in feedstock, and can handle a wide variety of feedstocks, making them appropriate for a variety of applications. In some cases, catalysts are not required, due to the peculiar reaction environment provided by the high temperature and pressure in the presence of water [30]. This eliminates the requirement for catalyst selection, handling, and regeneration, thus simplifying the process and lowering the cost. Hydrothermal procedures can assist in reducing the discharge of hazardous gases and volatile



Fig. 1. Global primary plastic waste production by sector as of 2019 [1].



Fig. 2. Global primary plastic production and plastic waste generation by polymer type for 2019 (adapted from [1]). (I) Plastic produced, (I) plastic waste generated. Acrylonitrile Butadiene styrene (AB), Acrylonitrile Styrene Acrylate (ASA), Styrene Acrylonitrile (SAN), Polyurethane (PUR), Polyvinylchloride (PVC), Polystyrene (PS), Linear Low-Density Polyethylene (LLDPE), Polypropylene (PP), Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET), High-Density Polyethylene (HDPE).

organic compounds (VOCs) [19,31-33].

The environmental impact of hydrothermal processes depends on the energy efficiency, time and complexity, subcritical and supercritical conditions [34,35]. One promising technique receiving attention is hydrothermal decomposition using subcritical fluids [36,37]. Subcritical water is water at temperatures between 100 °C and 374 °C, with sufficient pressure (221 bar) to keep the water in a liquid state [29]. When water exceeds its critical point ($T_c = 373$ °C, $P_c = 221$ bar), it becomes supercritical water [38] (Fig. 3). Subcritical and supercritical water have been used extensively in the degradation of plastics and biomass [39]. Various reaction mechanisms can occur in parallel during feedstock conversion in subcritical or supercritical water, such as hydrolysis,



Fig. 3. Phase diagram of water, including the subcritical and supercritical pressure and temperature region [16].

depolymerization/polymerization, isomerization, dehydration, decarboxylation, aromatization, condensation, methanation, hydrogenation and dehydrogenation, amongst others [40,41]. Hydrothermal technology has been applied on polyethylene terephthalate (PET) water [42], polycarbonate (PC), polystyrene (PS), polyethylene (PE), polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and even thermosets. According to Liu et al. [43], thermosets containing breakable connections such as ester bonds, acetal linkages, and Schiff bases, are susceptible to hydrolysis within a solution, leading to the retrieval of monomers or oligomers which can then be employed in creating new thermosets or related materials.

Hydrothermal technology can be divided into three processes according to the temperature range: hydrothermal carbonization (HTC), hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL) and hydrothermal gasification (HTG) [28,44], see Fig. 4. Hydrothermal Carbonization (HTC) is a process typically conducted at moderate temperatures, ranging from 180 °C to 250 °C, and at relatively low to moderate pressures, typically between 10 and 40 bar. HTC reactions are relatively longer, often taking several hours to complete, and they yield hydrochar as the main product [19, 31-33]. In contrast, Hydrothermal Liquefaction (HTL) involves higher temperatures, usually between 250 °C and 500 °C, and higher pressures, often exceeding 50 bar and reaching up to 200 bar or more. HTL processes are relatively shorter, taking minutes to a few hours, and produce a liquid biocrude or bio-oil as the primary product. This bio-oil can be further refined into transportation fuels, chemicals, and other valuable products [28,44]. On the other hand, hydrothermal gasification (HTG) utilizes even higher temperatures, typically ranging from 500 °C to 800 °C, and similar high pressures, usually between 50 and 200 bar [38]. HTG reactions are rapid and take only a few minutes to complete, generating synthetic gas (syngas) as the main product which can be used to produce fuels, chemicals, and electricity [34,35] (Fig. 4).

2.1.1. Hydrothermal carbonization

Hydrothermal carbonization (HTC) involves the treatment of biomass, including various organic wastes such as plant and plastic waste, in a high temperature (100-250 °C), high-pressure water



Fig. 4. Schematic overview of the hydrothermal processes. () hydrothermal carbonization (HTC), () hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL) and () hydrothermal gasification (HTG) pathways. Full lines indicate the production of primary products while broken lines indicate the production of secondary products.

environment where water is kept in a liquid state yet exhibits unique properties. These properties make water a highly polar solvent with increased ionization capacity and reduced dielectric constant, increasing its ability to dissolve and react with organic compounds such as polymers. For plastic waste, subcritical water hydrolysis promotes polymer bond scission and the attack on polymer bonds, resulting in the separation of individual monomer units and decomposition of the polymer into smaller fragments [16,45]. During the hydrothermal carbonization of plastic waste, besides bond cleavage, the resulting hydrochar typically retains a carbonaceous structure which is composed of the carbon backbone of the original plastic polymer [41]. The hydrochar may contain residual aromatic and aliphatic carbon compounds [41], depending on the type of plastic waste and the specific conditions of the hydrothermal process. While some chemical bonds are broken during decomposition, such as ester linkages in the case of polvester plastics, the carbon-carbon backbone of the polvmer is not destroyed [46]. The hydrothermal conditions promote the rearrangement and stabilization of carbon atoms, leading to the formation of a solid carbon-rich hydrochar [20]. The HTC process may introduce new oxygen-containing functional groups [47], such as the carbonyl (>C =O) and the hydroxyl (-OH)) groups on the carbonaceous structure [48]. These groups can influence the reactivity, properties, and potential applications of hydrochar, making it suitable for various uses as a renewable fuel or precursor for carbon materials. The specific composition and structure of the hydrochar will depend on various factors, including the type of plastic waste, the temperature, pressure, and residence time during the hydrothermal process [49].

Several hydrothermal carbonization studies have been documented in the existing literature [50,51,46,52–54]. Studies by Zhao et al. [55] and Lu et al. [56] focused on the removal of harmful elements and the dechlorination of PVC during the HTC process under mild conditions. Becker et al. [57] explored the composition of condensed steam water generated during the HTC process. The condensed steam contains essential nutrients, including nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and others, which can be extracted and utilized as fertilizers or soil conditioners. The extraction of valuable chemicals such as levulinic acid, furfural, 5-hydroxymethylfurfural, and phenolic compounds from the condensed steam is also possible. The condensed steam can further undergo anaerobic digestion, yielding biogas as a renewable energy source [58]. Chen et al. [59] investigated the effects of temperature and pressure on hydrochar properties, for optimization purposes.

2.1.2. Hydrothermal liquefaction

During hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL), the process can result in the release of alcohol and carboxylic acid functionalities, and the polymer chains can be broken down into smaller hydrocarbons, including alkanes and olefins containing a mixture of saturated and unsaturated hydrocarbons exhibiting different structures [60,61]. The resulting products may encompass styrene, benzene, and other aromatic hydrocarbons, with aromatics potentially being retained in the oil fraction. Also, the formation of chlorinated hydrocarbons and other byproducts is possible.

A study conducted by Čolnik et al. [62] on hydrothermal decomposition of PE waste used supercritical water of 380–450 °C with the addition of acetic acid as a catalyst and 15–250 min reaction time. The plastic was degraded majorly into oil and wax with minor fractions of gas, solid and aqueous solution [63]. The oil phase was made of alkanes, alkenes, cycloalkanes, aromatics, and alcohols, while the gas phase contained light hydrocarbons (C1–C6) [40]. When temperatures and reaction times increased, the concentrations of the long-chain hydrocarbons (>C9, diesel fractions) decreased [64]. The plastic feedstock of PP and PS produced cyclic compounds, while HDPE predominantly generated alkanes. A review on the conversion of municipal solid waste and mixed feedstocks for commercial HTL in bio-refineries was carried out focusing on the yield and quality enhancement of bio-crude through hydrothermal liquefaction of municipal solid waste and the challenges regarding scale up. The review reported 65-70 % energy recovery ability of HTL [65]. In another study, it was reported that the biocrude vields from hydrothermal liquefaction of mixed plastic wastes and polypropylene increased, reaching up to 45 % of the initial feedstock, with the high heating values surpassing 50 % under temperatures of 350 to 450 °C, with pressures reaching up to 20 bar and a retention time of 30 min [66]. In Rahman et al. [61] PET, HDPE, LDPE, PP and PS were blended 42 %, 20 %, 20 %, 4 %, and 14 % by weight respectively mimicking household plastic waste with their corresponding single plastic counterparts as control. The HTL reaction of the plastic mixture produced 23 % liquid crude and 23 % solid product. For the control experiments, HDPE yielded the highest crude oil at 76 %, while PET predominantly produced 80 % solids. The crude oil derived from plastics contained 36-92 wt.% gasoline-range compounds. HDPE decomposition resulted in straight-chain alkanes, while products from PP and PS consisted of cyclic compounds [61].

2.1.3. Hydrothermal gasification

Hydrothermal gasification (HTG) represents a thermochemical process designed to convert feedstocks (organic materials, plastics etc.) into valuable gaseous products within a hydrothermal gasifier at elevated temperatures and pressures, breaking down complex molecular structures to simpler ones. It has received attention due to its ability to convert a wide range of raw materials, with high energy, conversion efficiency, and short reaction time [67–69]. This method is suitable for feedstocks with high moisture content because it does not require additional drying and dehydration processes, which would result in high operational expenses [70,71].

The gasification process transforms plastic waste into a mixture of gases (syngas), predominantly carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), hydrogen (H₂), and traces of other hydrocarbons [72,73], which can further be processed into liquid fuels through various gas-to-liquid technologies. It can also be converted to hydrogen, methanol, and ammonia [69,74]. Like biomass, plastic waste hydrothermal gasification may lead to the formation of tar compounds, which are addressed through secondary reactions like tar reforming. Inorganic components undergo mineralization, resulting in the formation of solid residues or ash [69,74].

A review explored the thermophysical chemistry of hydrothermal decomposition of waste polymers (municipal solid waste (MSW), sewage sludge, mixed plastics, petroleum waste and used tires) and biomaterials under sub and supercritical temperatures [30]. One advantage is the ability to utilize the syngas directly without compression, since it is produced at elevated temperatures and pressures, which can reduce the cost. In Bai et al. [72], polystyrene waste was converted into syngas in a batch reactor at 500-800 °C, 1-60 min reaction time and 22-25 MPa. The study focused on the optimization performance of gasification parameters and found that reducing the reaction time and increasing the feedstock concentration improved conversion efficiency to 94 % (800 °C, 60 min, 23 MPa). In Okolie et al. [30], several other studies were reported on the decomposition of plastics using hydrothermal gasification. In one of the studies, plastic compounds were broken down through gasification using subcritical and supercritical water with the conversion of diphenyl ether to phenol. Another study revealed the transformation of monomer-cast nylon through the interaction of subcritical water and a commercial heterogenous zeolite (H-Beta) catalyst, which led to a remarkable increase in the hydrolysis rate (31-60 %) at a lower temperature of 300 °C for 50 min. The zeolite catalyst provided an alternative reaction pathway with lower activation energy, allowing the reaction to occur at milder temperatures. The catalyst facilitated the hydrolysis of the monomer-cast nylon by providing active sites for the reaction, thereby reducing the energy

barrier for the process.

2.2. Advantages of hydrothermal technology over conventional gasification and pyrolysis

Hydrothermal technology operates in the presence of water, making it well-suited for processing a variety of feedstock, including mixed waste plastics, providing greater flexibility in dealing with diverse waste streams that may contain residual water [37]. This helps to eliminate the dewatering stage [75]. Traditional gasification and pyrolysis processes may have limitations on the types of plastics they can effectively process. Hydrothermal technology produces more solid carbon, more surface functional groups and has proven to be a practical method for enveloping pre-existing nanostructures with carbonaceous coatings [76]. Hydrothermal liquefaction surpasses pyrolysis with advantages such as higher biocrude yields, and higher energy density products within a shorter reaction period [77]. In addition to energy recovery, hydrothermal processes may facilitate the recovery of valuable nutrients from plastic waste providing additional environmental benefits. It also facilitates the removal of heavy metals [78] and halogens such as chlorine [79].

3. Hydrothermal carbonization technology

3.1. Industrial hydrothermal carbonization processes

The hydrothermal carbonization (HTC) process can convert a variety of waste (ranging from municipal solid sewage, medical waste, industrial, biowaste, fishery, livestock to plastic waste etc.) [45,80] to different products which can be used for different purposes [81,82,107, 108]. Fig. 5 shows a general description of a commercial hydrothermal carbonization process adapted from the Hokuto Kogyo hydrothermal plant, Japan [83], and the Hypersolution pilot plant in South Korea (Fig. 6).

The process starts with the supply of saturated steam from a boiler. A maximum operating temperature and pressure of 280 °C and 30 bar is set respectively [84]. Inside the HTC system, shovels or shafts continuously agitate the waste for heat transfer and homogeneity. When the waste is completely decomposed, the gas valves are opened, and the steam is discharged to the condenser as wastewater. The wastewater is then sent to a treatment system where all harmful chemicals are removed before it is discharged into the sewer system.

After decomposition, the hydrochar is discharged onto a conveyor system and transported to a drying system. The final product is passed through a magnetic separator and a sieve to remove metals and larger particles. The final hydrochar product can then be used as carbon material. These hydrochar have important characteristics that make them appropriate for a variety of uses: supercapacitors, catalyst, inks, tire additives, pelletized as solid fuel for coal furnaces, cement rotary kiln, or as additives in cement [85–87].

Hydrochar is normally acidic, lower in ash concentration, and contains more alkyl groups because of the strong demineralization from the inorganic metal washing and the presence of oxygenated functional groups. For energy related uses, the particle sizes of hydrochar are important. Hydrochar possesses uniform, spherical, low-porosity, and high specific surface area particle sizes [87,88]. The plastic hydrochar can be used for electricity generation.

For electricity generation and grid integration, the solid-engineered pulverized fuel (plastic hydrochar) is used in high-temperature combustion burners for heat production which is transferred to the steam boiler [89,90] which powers the steam turbine and generator. The electricity generated from the steam turbine generator is passed to the electric grid system which in turn is used in the HTC treatment plant as energy.

The emissions from steam are managed with the installation of a multi-pollutant air quality control system. These include twin cyclone



Fig. 5. Plastic hydrochar production and utilization pathway illustrating a circular economy.

for initial particulate removal [91,92], selective catalytic reduction with urea injection to reduce NO_x and VOC (volatile organic compounds) [93], fabric filter baghouse to control particulate matter (PM) and metals [94], wet scrubber with sodium hydroxide to control SO₂, HCl, HF [95] and a carbon adsorption system to control mercury (Hg) and VOC [96–98].

3.2. Advantages of plastic hydrochar over biomass hydrochar

Plastic hydrochar may exhibit a higher calorific value due to the energy-dense nature of some plastic polymers, providing a more potent fuel source compared to biomass [78,99]. Plastic hydrochar could have lower ash content compared to biomass hydrochar, contributing to cleaner combustion and potentially reducing issues associated with ash-related complications. Plastics typically have lower moisture content than biomass, which can be advantageous in terms of energy content and combustion efficiency [88]. The unique chemical composition of plastic hydrochar, influenced by the polymerization and degradation of plastic polymers, may result in enhanced reactivity during combustion, contributing to efficient fuel burnout [100]. Plastic hydrochar production offers a potential means of recycling and utilizing plastic waste, addressing environmental concerns associated with plastic disposal.

3.3. The mechanism of hydrothermal decomposition of waste plastic

During the hydrothermal carbonization of PET, it is subjected to high temperatures and pressure in the presence of water, which causes the ester bonds in the polymer chain to break down, releasing terephthalic acid (TPA) and ethylene glycol (EG), while monomers like isopropyl alcohol (IPA), and 1,4-dioxane are formed [101] and later decarboxylated (benzoic acid), dehydrated (acetaldehyde) and dimerized (diethylene and triethylene glycol) [102]. The final products from PET decomposition are TPA as solid [42], carbon dioxide, which is released as gas, and isopropyl alcohol, 1,4-dioxane, acetaldehyde, triethylene glycol, benzoic acid which are carried into the steam and later condensed as liquid. However, controlled conditions, additives, and other impurities may have a significant impact on the final products. When a blended mixture of PET and nylon-6 were decomposed in a hydrothermal system, 55 % of solid TPA was recovered [41]. However, when PET was decomposed alone, 75 % of solid TPA was recovered. Different polymers behave differently during hydrothermal carbonization of plastic waste. Čolnik et al. [101] carried out hydrothermal carbonization of PET waste under subcritical conditions of 250 °C and short reaction time, which resulted in incomplete reaction, although the bulk on the PET was hydrolyzed.

- 1. Ester bond hydrolysis: (PET) $C_{10}H_8O_4+H_2O\rightarrow nC_8H_6O_4$ (TPA)+ $nC_2H_6O_2$ (EG)
- 2. Ester bond hydrolysis: $C_{10}H_8O_4{\rightarrow}C_3H_8O$ (IPA) + $C_4H_8O_2$ (1,4-dioxane)
- 3. Decarboxylation of TPA: $C_8H_6O_4$ (TPA) \rightarrow $C_7H_6O_2$ (benzoic acid) + CO_2
- 4. Dehydration of EG: $C_2H_6O_2$ (EG) $\rightarrow C_2H_4O$ (acetaldehyde) + H_2O
- 5. Dimerization of EG: 2 $C_2H_6O_2$ (EG) $\rightarrow C_4H_{10}O_3$ (diethylene glycol)
- 6. C₂H₆O₂ (EG) + C₄H₁₀O₃ (Diethylene glycol) \rightarrow C₆H₁₄O₄ (triethylene glycol)



Fig. 6. Examples of hydrothermal carbonization plant. (a) Hydrothermal carbonization plant in Hokuto Kogyo Japan [83] (b) A 200-L pilot plant, South Korea with (c) the HTC reactor cross section, (d) the rotary shaft and (e) the plastic hydrochar product. Image (b-d) are original images taken by the authors in 2022 at the Hypersolution pilot plant, Sejong, South Korea.

In the hydrothermal carbonization of polyethylene (PE) under subcritical water conditions, a series of reactions results in the breakdown of the polymer chain. Initially, polyethylene reacts with water, leading to the formation of aliphatic alcohols and other intermediate products. Subsequent transformations involve the dehydrogenation and fragmentation of the polymer backbone [103]. The generated intermediate species may include alkenes, aldehydes, and ketones. Further reactions may lead to the formation of smaller hydrocarbons, such as methane, ethylene, and propylene, as well as alcohols and carboxylic acids. The final products of polyethylene decomposition are diverse and can encompass gases like carbon dioxide and methane, as well as liquid byproducts such as alcohols and acids. The overall process is sensitive to reaction conditions, and the presence of impurities or catalysts may influence the nature and yield of the final products. These reactions are similar in the case of polypropylene.

- 1. Hydrolysis: (C₂H₄) $_n$ + H₂O \rightarrow C₂H₅OH
- 2. Dehydrogenation: $C_2H_5OH \rightarrow C_2H_4 + H_2O$
- 3. Formation of aromatic compounds: $C_2H_4 \rightarrow C_6H_6 + 3H_2O$

In the case of polystyrene (PS), it is first depolymerized into styrene monomers where they undergo elimination reaction to form benzyl alcohol. This benzyl alcohol undergoes subsequent transformations, leading to the generation of benzaldehyde and benzoic acid as intermediate products. Following this, some distinct reactions take place: benzaldehyde undergoes decarboxylation, shedding a carbon dioxide molecule, while benzyl alcohol experiences dehydration, losing a water molecule. Concurrently, two benzoyl radicals undergo dimerization, forming a dimer product. The hydrothermal energy breaks the C—C bond, producing phenyl radicals, phenylmethyl radicals, and alkyl radicals. Various organic products, including diphenyl compounds with

an extended carbon chain between phenyl radicals, result from the combined reactions of different free radicals benzene [46]. A phenylmethyl radical bonds to two alkyl radicals, forming (1-methylethyl)-benzene [46,104], which, through an elimination reaction, becomes α-methyl styrene. Linear alkyl radicals with three carbon atoms bridge the gap between two individual phenyl radicals, resulting in the formation of 1,3-diphenyl-propane. Similarly, pentyl radicals link aromatic radicals, leading to chain termination, and the likelihood of cyclization reactions increases with the carbon chain, resulting in the formation of 1,2-diphenylcyclopropane. Furthermore, intermolecular rearrangement between dissociative phenyl radicals leads to the emergence of 2-phenyl-naphthalene and multi-benzene compounds [46, 104-106]. These chemical changes contribute to the breakdown of the polystyrene polymer, yielding various final products. Potential degradation products include styrene monomer, styrene dimer, styrene trimer, toluene, ethyl benzene, isopropyl benzene, and diphenyl compounds including 1,2-diphenylcyclopropane,1,3-diphenylpropane, (1-methylpropane-1,3-diyl) dibenzene, 2-phenyl-naphthalene, anthracene, (1-methylethyl)-benzene, α-methylstyrene, (1-methylpropane-1, 3-diyl) dibenzene, 2-phenyl-naphthalene, 4'-phenyl, terphenyl, and quaterphenyl [104]. The specific products generated depend on various factors, including reaction conditions, temperature, and the presence of catalysts. Note that the exact pathways and products may vary based on specific reaction conditions and the presence of impurities in the hydrothermal feedstock:

- 1. $(C_8H_8)_n \rightarrow C_6H_5CH = CH_2$ (styrene monomers)
- 2. $C_6H_5CH = CH_2 \rightarrow C_6H_5CH_2OH$ (benzyl alcohol)
- 3. Breakdown of C—C Bonds: $C_6H_5CH_2OH \rightarrow C_6H_5$ (phenyl radicals), $C_6H_5CH_2$ (phenyl methyl radicals), $CH_3(alkyl radicals)$
- 4. $C_6H_5CH_2 + 2CH_3 \rightarrow C_6H_5CH_2CH_3$ (1-methylethyl)-benzene

- 5. $C_6H_5CH_2CH_3 \rightarrow C_6H_5CH(CH_3)=CH_2 (\alpha$ -methyl-styrene)
- 6. Linear alkyl radicals+2-phenyl radicals→1,3-diphenyl-propane

7. Pentyl radicals + Aromatic radicals \rightarrow 1,2-diphenylcyclopropane

8. Dissociative phenyl radicals \rightarrow 2-phenyl naphthalene

The hydrothermal carbonization of PVC goes through hydrolysis, oxidation, aromatization, polymerization, and esterification reactions [48,107]. The HTC of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) forms aliphatic alcohols and releases hydrochloric acid. Subsequently, dehydrochlorination occurs, leading to the generation of alkene, water, and additional hydrochloric acid. Concurrently, hydroxyl substitution takes place, where hydroxyl groups (-OH) substitute for chlorine atoms, releasing hydrochloric acid [79,108]. Aromatization then follows, transforming hydroxyl-substituted compounds into aromatic structures such as polvaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). Esterification involves the reaction of hydroxyl groups with carbon dioxide (CO₂), resulting in the formation of esters. Collectively, these reactions contribute to the chemical changes that PVC undergoes during hydrothermal carbonization. The aromatic hydrocarbon (C-H, C=C), hydroxyl (-OH), amino group (-NH), methylidyne (\equiv C–H), cycloalkane or aliphatic hydrocarbon (C–H, CH₂, CH₃), Carbonyl groups (C=O), hydrogen bonded carbonyl (-O-), phenol, alcohol, ether, esters, are some of the functional groups identified throughout the hydrothermal carbonization of PVC [47].

3.4. Dechlorination of PVC using HTC

Chlorine is a hindering factor to chemical recycling (degradation) of PVC, unlike the other counterparts (PP, PE, and even PS) which do not have chlorine. However, due to HTC conversion technology, PVC can be dechlorinated while bromine (which acts as a flame retardant), can be successfully removed. A 100 % dechlorination efficiency is attainable, however, under hydrothermal carbonization temperatures, 93 % of PVC can be dechlorinated. With the addition of a catalyst or a longer reaction time, 100 % dechlorination is possible [108]. Adolfsson et al. [109] reported that citric acid acting as a catalyst under 240 °C can facilitate the removal of chlorine completely after 15 h. However, other findings showed a 98 % chlorine removal at 240 °C, 3 MPa for 2 h [56]. Sodium hydroxide also acts as a catalyst in dechlorination, however, at higher concentrations it may lead to surface poisoning. Li et al. [79] reported a 94 % dechlorination at 240 °C with 1 % NaOH. Hydrothermal technology is also suitable for dechlorination of plastic waste [108], with the co-hydrothermal carbonization of PVC and bagasse proven to be highly effective. Liu et al. [110] reported that bagasse supplied a large amount of -OH in the hydrothermal system, causing the substitution of -Cl with -OH, leading to the conversion of organic-Cl to inorganic-Cl which is migrated to the liquid. Substitution reaction is the dominant dechlorination pathway, although elimination reactions partly contribute. Bagasse has been blended with acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) and high impact polystyrene (HIPS) for hydrochar production [110].

4. Hydrochar

4.1. General properties of hydrochar as an energy alternative

4.1.1. Proximate analysis

The proximate analysis of a compound is a measure of its fixed carbon, ash content, volatile matter, and moisture content [20]. Analysis of these parameters usually follows the ASTM 2009 standards. Fixed carbon is inversely proportional to volatile matter, and is derived by subtracting the ash, moisture, and volatile matter from 100 [111]. The fuel ratio is dependent on fixed carbon and volatile matter. During the HTC process, the volatility reduces while the fixed carbon increases and the amount of ash left after combustion is the ash content [112]. A good hydrochar should have a lower ash content since this is an insoluble material that inhibits the combustion process [112]. Bardhan et al. [111] compared the proximate analysis of some selected feedstocks

before carbonization (PVC, food waste, raw coal, sub-bituminous coal, lignite coal and low-rank coal). They found out that polyvinyl chlorides had the highest volatile matter range of 93 to 95 %, with the smallest amount of fixed carbon (4–6 %) and ash content (0.44 %). The volatile matter of coal was the smallest (34–59 %) with high ash content (2–9.6 %) which was like that of food waste (1.7–9.2 %). All the coal types demonstrated extremely high fixed carbon content.

4.1.2. Ultimate analysis

Ultimate analysis is the measure of a fuel carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur contents. For hydrochar, determining the hydrogen/carbon (H/C) and oxygen/carbon (O/C) molar ratios is a key factor in determining the degree of deoxygenation and aromatization. A high O/C ratio implies low hydrophobicity and high polarity, whereas a high H/C ratio indicates low aromatic content [113] . The plotted H/C and O/C ratios of lignite and bituminous coal are used to assess the hydrochar molar ratios, where coalification degrees of the products are compared [114]. The hydrochars produced by hydrothermal carbonization of PVC plastics at 220-260 °C in 60 min revealed that hydrothermal temperature had a substantial effect on hydrochar chemical structure, with the van Krevelen diagram showing a similar maturation rate to lignite coal. [48]. This suggested that higher temperatures during HTC positively influenced the fuel characteristics of the PVC hydrochar. This was evident as the ratios of O/C and H/C shifted towards those of bituminous coal. Elevated temperatures also caused a reduction in the H/C and O/C ratios, resulting in higher aromaticity, demethylation, and dehydration of the hydrochar [48]. Hydrothermal carbonization was carried out on marine mixed plastic waste (PP, PE, PET, Nylon) at different temperatures (200, 250 and 300 °C) where the van Krevelen diagram showed that the mixed plastic waste hydrochar fell in the fuel oil region, indicating a high-quality fuel oil suitable for coal power plants etc. [20]. Another study investigating the co-hydrothermal carbonization (co-HTC) process at 230 °C using coal waste and food waste found that this increased the elemental carbon content to a maximum of 49.5 %. The co-HTC treatment in an acidic environment created by the HTC of food waste also had a synergistic effect, reducing the sulfur content to a minimum value 1.4 % compared to the raw coal waste values 8.5 % [115]. It was also observed that during the hydrothermal carbonization of paper mill waste, higher reaction temperatures facilitated dehydration and decarboxylation, resulting in hydrochars with lower H/C and O/C ratios, which improved the fuel quality. The HTC treatment effectively produced cleaner solid fuel with low nitrogen and sulfur content, enabling the recovery of hydrochar with higher carbon content, improved energy densification, and enhanced combustion properties [116]. Fig. 7 presents the van Krevelen diagram of different plastic hydrochar as reported by some authors [20,117,118].

4.1.3. Heating value

The heating value of a substance (energy or calorific value, expressed in MJ/kg) is the amount of heat released during its combustion. Energy values vary per fuel type or material but are important parameters in determining fuel quality. Most plastics have an energy content of 18-44 MJ/kg, which is higher than that of most coals (Fig. 8). The higher the heating value of a waste polymer, the better its recycling or energy recovery potential. Some studies have reported that blending plastics with other materials such as biomass or coal produces heating values higher than those of their corresponding feedstocks [119]. For example, Bardhan et al. [111] found that the heating value of blended corncob and PVC hydrochar was 32.8 MJ/kg, higher than the values of the individual feedstock (corncob = 19 MJ/kg; PVC = 20 MJ/kg). The higher energy content of hydrochar could be attributed to a higher carbon content in the blended hydrochar (76 %) compared to the individual feedstocks (44 %) [111]. The chemical reactions occurring during hydrothermal carbonization of the blend can lead to the formation of new compounds or structures with higher energy content and the combination of corncob and PVC could lead to a more efficient conversion



Fig. 7. Van krevelen diagram of plastic hydrochar from different polymer types. (PET & PVC [20], PE, PP [117], Blend (PP, PET, PP, Nylon [118]). The light green ring/circle indicates the biomass region; the second ring (blue indicates the peat region, the third ring (purple) indicates the lignite (low coal) region, the fourth ring (dark blue) indicates the sub-coal region, and the fifth ring indicates the anthracite coal region.



Fig. 8. Energy content of different energy carriers including plastics [47,122].

process, resulting in the formation of energetically favorable compounds in the hydrochar.

4.2. Hydrochar as solid recovered fuel (SRF)

4.2.1. Potential of hydrochar as SRF

Most petrochemically derived materials have a high energy content compared to coal and biomass. Hydrothermal carbonization conversion improves the energy quality of a material. In Iñiguez et al. [20], marine plastics were decomposed using HTC and the heating values increased from 35 to 39 MJ/kg. Also, PVC decomposed through HTC saw heating values increase from 18 MJ/kg to 39.1 MJ/kg [47]. Polypropylene and polyethylene have higher energy values than their counterparts, hence can serve as better feedstocks for hydrochar production through hydrothermal carbonization. The feasibility of plastic waste hydrochar as an energy source is dependent on the amount of energy required to offset the energy used in plastic production (plastic production processes are energy intensive and vary between plastic types and processes). The energy content (heating value) of a feedstock alone is not a measure of fuel quality, but the chemical compositions of the material, emissions and energy required for production also play a part. For example, in Fig. 9, although polypropylene has the highest heating value (44 MJ/kg), this does not mean it is a better solid-fuel feedstock than the others. Polyethylene has the highest energy quotient which translates to having the highest energy recovery potential. However, when these polymers are further processed into day-to-day materials such as bottles, plastic bags or cups, the total energy consumption will increase according to the plastic polymer types and processing methods (e.g., mixing, extrusion, molding, and thermoforming). Fig. 10, shows the energy used in the different PET production processes. This processing may alter the energy quotients. In addition, another important energy consideration is the amount of energy required during the plastic waste recovery or conversion process (HTC process).

In Marczak [120], PET plastic production uses over 71.2 MJ/kg in the production of a virgin polymer where 34.1 MJ/kg of energy was used in the extraction of raw materials (like crude oil) and 37.1 MJ/kg was used by fuels in the production of the polymer. A further 44 MJ/kg of energy was used in processing this virgin polymer into different shapes, sizes, and types etc., giving about 115 MJ/kg in energy input in total. In other words, producing 1 kg of usable PET product (having a chemical energy of 24 MJ/kg) from crude oil (having a chemical energy of 47 MJ/kg), requires 115 MJ. The energy content (heating value) of the final PET product (24 MJ/kg) is almost 5 times smaller than the overall energy required to produce it (from crude oil extraction to finished product).

If PET waste is decomposed using HTC, the energy content of the product (hydrochar) might be improved up to 35 MJ/kg (unpublished data). Shen et al. [121] reported that the heating values of waste PVC materials increase from 26 MJ/kg to 30.4 MJ/kg when blended with cellulose, 30.8 MJ/kg when blended with lignin and 29.1 MJ/kg when blended with xylan. Additionally, carbonizing plastic diapers under different temperatures increased the hydrochar heating values from 26 MJ/kg to 43 MJ/kg [122]. An integrated approach should therefore be developed that can recover the lost energy during plastic production process [119,123].

Since plastics have higher heating values than coal, plastic hydrochar could be used in coal kilns if they meet the required combustion properties. If the energy produced by plastic pulverized hydrochar as a solid recovered fuel (SRF) is injected back into the production process, 20 % of the greenhouse gases emitted in its production process will be reduced. Given that plastics generated over 1.8 billion tonnes of GHGs in 2019, accounting for over 3.4 % of global GHGs emissions, then considering the energy quotient of PET, PET energy recovery could reduce about 360 mT of GHG/year, while Fig. 11 illustrates the possible circular pathway where plastic waste can contribute in the production of plastic.

4.2.2. Combustion properties of SRF in coal furnaces

For coal furnace injection, material grindability and combustion characteristic index are some of the properties of interest. Material grindability is a measure of how easily a material can be ground or crushed [124]. This is mostly used in coal and coke quality estimates [125], so if hydrochar must be used in coal or coke furnaces, then its grindability must be similarly estimated. The Hardgrove grindability index (HGI) is a function of proximate analysis which can be used to measure the grindability of most carbonized materials. While most biomass hydrochars are obtained in a powdered form, plastics hydrochar is hard (just like raw coal) which can then be ground or used whole. The HG indices are inversely proportional to amount of energy needed to produce a grind hydrochar [126]. The HGI of the hydrochar is higher than that of anthracite coal (HGI 47.9). An example is the PET hydrochar reported in Ye et al. [117], where its HGI was 98.0, while that of the PVC hydrochar was 67.1. These findings suggest that the hydrochar aligns with the requirements for blast furnace injection. The combustion characteristic index measures the reactiveness of the fuel during combustion considering the burning velocity, ignition ease, and burnout



Fig. 9. Energy consumption to make virgin polymers with their calorific values and the energy quotient of the different plastic polymers. (I) Energy consumption (MJ/kg), (I) calorific value (MJ/kg) and the (----) energy quotient (right vertical axis).



Fig. 10. Energy consumption of PET polymer into PET bottle [120].



Fig. 11. Feedback loop showing the flow of energy produced by plastic hydrochar (in HTC) in the production of pure plastics. (
) Energy pathway from plastic hydrochar.

temperature [127]. Other combustion parameters necessary for blast furnace injection can be obtained from TGA/ DTG curves. These are ignition temperature T_i (°C), which is the temperature responsible for the weight loss of 1 % hydrochar/min, the peak temperature T_p (°C), and the burnout temperature T_f (°C), which is the temperature where the material stopped burning due to the absence of fuel [128]. There are other indices like the ignition index [129], burnout index, combustion performance index (S) [130], index of intensity (H_f), flammability index (C) [131], and critical heat flux (CHF) [132–135]. Materials with better fuel combustion behavior and performance are characterized by lower ignition and burnout temperatures, lower ignition and burnout index values, higher combustion performance index, higher index of intensity and flammability index [87]. Compared to coal, hydrochar tends to have lower ignition and burnout temperatures, lower ignition and burnout index, higher flammability index and index of intensity, higher combustion performance index. These properties suggest that hydrochar can serve as a good fuel, making it an attractive option for energy generation [136]. Hydrochar exhibits superior combustion performance compared to bituminous and anthracite coal, demonstrating exceptional reactivity in the raceway zone, enhancing fuel burnout. There is a significant difference in the combustion behaviors of waste plastic hydrochar and biomass hydrochar [87]. Plastic hydrochar is more reactive than

biomass hydrochar possibly due to its possession of more oxygen-containing functional groups (such as hydroxyl (-OH), carbonyl (C=O), and carboxyl (-COOH) groups) shorter carbon chains, and lower aromaticity, increase porosity and high surface area [100]. These functional groups can promote easier breaking of chemical bonds and contribute to the release of volatile compounds.

4.3. Potential of hydrochar as supercapacitor

Given that plastic hydrochar shares similar properties with biomass hydrochar, there is potential for its use in supercapacitors as well. Various feedstocks, including wormwood, bamboo, hemp straw, *enteromorpha sp*, jatropha oilcake, carrageenan, rice husk, corn starch, fresh milk, salacca peel, shells of bamboo shoot, and rice straw have been utilized in supercapacitor experiments as hydrochar [99,137–142]. This suggests that both plastic and biomass-derived hydrochar may serve as promising materials for supercapacitor applications, showcasing the versatility of hydrochar across different feedstocks.

Supercapacitors have emerged as a promising energy storage technology due to their high-power density, fast charge/discharge rate and long cycle life [143]. However, the limited energy density is a major obstacle to widespread adoption. Various approaches have been explored to overcome this, including the use of advanced materials such as hydrochar [103,143]. Hydrochar shows immense potential for improving the performance of supercapacitors. Synthesis conditions such as temperature, reaction time, and feedstock composition can be optimized to tailor hydrochar properties to specific supercapacitor requirements [24]. As an example, adding NaHCO3 to hydrochar enhanced the porosity leading to larger specific surface areas (>2300 $m^2 g^{-1}$ and >3000 when KHCO₃ is used) with pore volume of approximately 1.4 cm³g⁻¹, which are indicative of high energy storage capacity in supercapacitors [24,144]. Hydrochar porosity can be modified by tuning synthesis parameters, resulting in improved ionic accessibility and electrolyte penetration by promoting charge transfer reactions and promoting adsorption of electrolyte ions [145]. Incorporating hydrochars into the supercapacitor electrodes significantly improved the electrochemical performance. The high specific surface area of the hydrochar increases electrode-electrolyte contact, resulting in improved ion diffusion and faster charge transfer [146,147]. Additionally, the unique porous structure of hydrochar provides ample space for storing ions, resulting in higher specific capacitance of 174.5 F g^{-1} [146,148]. The high mesopore ratio, specific surface, and oxygen functional groups on the hydrochar surface also contributes to the increase in capacitance through pseudocapacitive reactions, further enhancing the overall performance of supercapacitors both as adsorbent [149] and an energy storage material [150]. Vijayakumar et al. [151] demonstrated the application of activated carbon fiber obtained from the carbonization of cotton waste. The cotton waste biomass contained few impurities hence

was easy to purify. Plastic materials can provide a better carbon fiber for activation with KOH at 950 °C, although the presence of impurities (such as heavy metals) may require more effort in washing. However, more research is required on the conversion of plastic hydrochar into supercapacitors.

Various composite and hybrid systems have been developed to further optimize the performance of carbon-based supercapacitors [23]. By combining hydrochar with other carbonaceous materials such as graphene, carbon nanotubes, and activated carbon, the strengths of each component can be combined to enhance their electrochemical properties [22,152]. In Mu et al. [153] PET plastic waste was selectively transformed into three-dimensional porous carbon nanosheets (PCS) through carbonization, and then combined with MnO₂ nanoflakes to generate PCS-MnO₂ composite structures which generated a resilience of 5000 cycles of charge and discharge at a current density of 10 A g^{-1} with specific capacitance of 210.5 F g^{-1} and an areal capacitance of 0.33 Fm⁻². Additionally, hybrid systems combining hydrochar with transition metal oxides or conducting polymers have been investigated to achieve higher specific capacities and improved cycling stability. Ding et al. [146] added nickel to hydrochar as a graphitization catalyst, which effectively changed the graphitization degree of hydrochar and improved its specific capacity by 149 % with a specific capacitance of 174.5 F g^{-1} , higher than activated carbon/nickel hybrid. Liu et al. [154] added potassium catalyst to corn straw hydrochar, transforming it from amorphous structures to graphene-like sheets due to the increase in pore sizes. Supercapacitors from this graphene-sheet hydrochar had a very high electrochemical performance with a high specific surface area of 1781 m²/g. Liu et al. [22], reported an outstanding electrochemical performance achieved during chemical activation process of hydrochar-derived carbon. The microstructure of the hydrochar aids the production of numerous graphene-like sheets with well-developed micropores, even with the application of minimal quantity of sodium-based catalysts. Another study [155] achieved the complete conversion of PET to solid fractions of carbon nanomaterials through hydrothermal synthesis. The supercapacitance performance showed a specific capacitance of 250.8 F/g, energy density of 34.83 Wh/kg, and power density of 999.9 W/kg with a current density of 0.5 A/g and exhibited a high cycle stability with high capacitance retention of 96.8 % and a current density of 1.5 A/g after 10,000 cycles. Dehydration and polymerization from hydrothermal carbonization can form hollow, delicate, functional carbon nanomaterials at temperatures lower than 200 °C under the presence of magnetic mediums like iron ions [156]. Hydrothermal carbonization can create controlled magnetic carbon nanocomposites (iron carbide nanoparticles, magnetite/carbon nano rods, carbon-coated magnetite nanoparticles, silver-coated iron oxide nanoparticles and graphene oxide) with improved structure, including high surface area, organized nano sizes, material stability, and magnetic properties [156].

Sustainable energy mobility is an important aspect of the transition to a greener and more sustainable future. By exploiting the unique properties of hydrochar, supercapacitors can improve the performance and efficiency of energy storage systems in a variety of sustainable transportation applications [157]. Carbon-based supercapacitors can contribute to sustainable energy mobility in several ways. For example, the incorporation of carbon-based supercapacitors into electric vehicles will increase energy storage capacity, enabling longer range and faster charging times [158]. Hydrochar-based supercapacitors can be used as energy buffers in HEVs, capturing and releasing energy during regenerative braking and acceleration. Hydrochar-based supercapacitors may offer high power density and fast charging capabilities, making them ideal for efficiently managing power fluctuations in hybrid vehicles, resulting in improved fuel efficiency and reduced emissions [157,159]. Hydrochar-based supercapacitors facilitate the integration of renewable energy sources such as solar and wind into the transportation sector. Using carbon-based supercapacitors in charging stations and infrastructure can optimize their performance and reduce the load on the power grid. By storing energy during times of low demand and releasing

energy during times of peak demand, supercapacitors ease the grid, enable faster charging, longer driving range, and efficient energy management, supporting a more stable and efficient EV charging infrastructure. This contributes to a more sustainable green transportation sector and a sustainable transition to energy mobility.

4.4. Potential of hydrochar as catalyst for fuel production

Biodiesel generation is aided by a variety of catalysts, the most common of which are metal catalysts. However, biocatalysts and carbon-based catalysts, such as biochar or hydrochar, are preferred due to their lower cost, reusable nature, large surface area, stable structure, good thermal and mechanical stability, chemical inertness, improved acid density, and environmental friendliness [160-162]. Hydrochar has the potential to serve as a heterogeneous catalyst in the synthesis of biodiesel, achieved through surface modification with either acidic or basic groups [163,164]. For instance, sulfonated hydrochar, generated by exposing hydrochar to sulfur trioxide gas or sulfuric acid, demonstrates effective acidic catalytic properties in biodiesel production [165]. Alternatively, hydrochar can be infused with metal oxides like those of calcium, magnesium, or potassium, creating basic catalysts for biodiesel synthesis [163,166]. These catalysts offer numerous advantages over traditional counterparts, including notable catalytic activity, stability, reusability, and cost-effectiveness [88]. Furthermore, hydrochar can function as a catalyst support in various fuel production processes such as pyrolysis, gasification, and reforming [76,167].

Recyclable biochar-based catalysts show improved activity during simultaneous esterification and transesterification processes of nonedible oils and the inclusion of K and Fe boosts activity even further [168,163]. In Dharmalingam et al. [169], a biocatalyst with KOH blend produced maximum biodiesel. By sulfonating char with strong sulfuric acids, a carbon-based solid acid catalyst was created. Catalyzing the transesterification of vegetable oils and the esterification of free fatty acids were achieved and when the catalyst was treated with 10 M potassium hydroxide, the catalyst displayed increased transesterification activity due to porosity development, high acid density and increased surface area [170]. The researchers also explored the impact of alcohol to oil molar ratio, reaction time, and catalyst loading on the esterification reaction facilitated by the sulfonated biochar. They observed that increasing alcohol to molar ratio, reaction time, and catalyst loading led to higher conversion of free fatty acids [170]. Biodiesel yield of 94 % was achieved with 5 wt.% carbon-based catalyst loading in a microalgae biodiesel production [171]. In another study [172], peat-derived biochar was chemically activated with KOH to create cost-effective solid-base catalysts for transesterification. The modified catalyst showed significantly increased surface area and pore volume. The catalyst achieved a biodiesel yield of 93.4 % with 5 wt.% catalyst, 8:1 methanol/oil molar ratio, and 150 min reaction time. The stability of this catalyst was maintained after 10 cycles of use, with over 81.6 % yield. Considering that hydrochar has even higher surface area, pore volume and many other attributes than biochar, hydrochar has the potential to act as a better catalyst. Acidified hydrochar was reported in Ghalandari et al. [173] as a catalyst to convert waste PVC to biocrude through hydrothermal liquefaction. The addition of hydrochar to waste PVC leads to an increase in carbon and hydrogen contents and a decrease in oxygen content, thereby generating plastic crude oils with higher heating values [173].

Hydrochar catalysts could also be useful in hydrogen production. Global production of hydrogen is about 75 Mt per year as pure hydrogen and 45 Mt per year as part of mixed gases. This represents 3 % of the world's final energy demand [174]. Biochar as a catalyst has the potential to improve hydrogen output by up to 220.3 % and it is used in methane catalytic cracking and dry methane reforming, with hydrogen conversion rates ranging from 13.4 % to 95.7 % [175]. Steam reforming and water gas shift are significant steps widely used for producing hydrogen from hydrocarbons such as natural gas and biological

feedstocks [176]. The presence of hydrochar or biochar catalysts can promote the gasification reactions, increase the yield of desired products, and reduce the formation of undesirable byproducts, such as tar or char [177]. Hydrochar catalysts can enhance the conversion efficiency, selectivity, kinetics, and stability of these processes, contributing to waste valorization and the production of renewable fuels [178]. Ma et al. [179] used biochar as a catalyst in the catalytic steam reforming of bio-oil compounds. The best results were achieved at 900 °C, leading to a high hydrogen yield (89.1 %) and concentration (76.0 %). The study also found that acid treatment reduced the catalytic activity of biochar by removing inherent acidic and alkaline earth metal species during the process. Also, the incorporation of biochar as a Ni support material presents an opportunity to reduce the overall reforming process expenses while promoting sustainability and thereby facilitating the augmentation of catalyst sites and functionalities [180]. This is done by harnessing the inherent surface oxygen-containing groups and alkali and alkaline earth metals. Carbon-derived catalysts have a strong degree of resistance to deactivation. In Harun et al. [181], biochar-derived catalyst was used and showed extended life (no deactivation for over 60 h) compared to other catalysts, due to carbon nanotube formation, high surface area of 3250 m² g⁻¹ and its microporosity. Cotton-derived biochar catalyst has also been shown to increase production of hydrogen $(64.0 \text{ vol}\%, 92.08 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{ biomass})$ [177].

Hydrothermal carbonization has also been used to develop a platinum (Pt) catalyst for proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) using coconut shell-derived carbon [25]. The surface area of the catalyst is enhanced through thermal activation, making it suitable for catalysis with well-distributed Pt particles. The electrochemical surface area of the catalyst is like a commercial vulcan-based catalyst, although its oxygen reduction activity is slightly lower due to differences in carbon properties, such as graphitization degree, surface oxygen groups, and electrical conductivity. Increasing the peak temperature and retention time during the hydrothermal carbonization process reduces the polarity while enhancing the stability, thermal resistance, and aromatic nature of hydrochar materials [24]. However, hydrochar produced under lower peak temperatures and shorter retention times yields porous carbon with higher porosity.

4.5. Potential of hydrochar as a direct carbon fuel cell

Hydrochar holds significant promise as a fuel supply for direct carbon fuel cells (DCFCs), which offer distinct advantages compared to traditional fuel cells, including enhanced efficiency, reduced emissions, and lower costs [182]. DCFCs convert the chemical energy stored in a carbon-based fuel directly into electrical energy without an intermediate conversion step like hydrogen gas generation [183]. In this process, carbon-based fuels such as coal, coke, biomass, or even carbon-containing wastes are electrochemically oxidized at the fuel cell anode. The basic structure of a DCFC is like that of other fuel cells, consisting of an anode, a cathode, and an electrolyte [184] (Fig. 12). However, there are some significant differences in DCFC design and operation. Anodes are typically made of porous carbon materials that allow direct interaction between the carbon fuel and the electrolyte [185]. Electrolytes used in DCFCs are typically molten mixtures of carbonates or solid oxide materials that can operate at high temperatures [186]. When a carbon-based fuel is fed to the anode, an electrochemical oxidation process takes place. Carbon in the fuel reacts with oxygen ions in the electrolyte to produce carbon dioxide and release electrons [187]. The electrons then flow through an external circuit to produce an electrical current that can be used for various purposes. At the cathode, air or oxygen supplied by the system reacts with electrons and carbonate ions (for molten carbonate electrolytes) or oxygen ions (for solid oxide electrolytes) to produce oxygen gas [188] and regenerate the ions used in the anode. DCFCs have several advantages over other types of fuel cells. DCFCs are electrically efficient and can operate at high temperatures, enabling efficient cogeneration. They can use a wide range of carbon-based fuels, including low-grade and waste carbon sources, which could help reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve the efficiency of carbon-intensive industries.

To date, there is little information on the utilization of hydrochar as a direct carbon fuel cell. However, hydrochar possesses similar properties to biochar, which has been shown in many studies as being suitable for direct carbon fuel cells. Biochar may be used as anode, cathode, and catalyst in fuel cells with a maximum power density of 0.4346 cm⁻² [175]. DCFC verification experiments on rubber wood biochar and rice husk biochar produced a power density of 2.21 mW cm⁻² and 0.07 mW cm⁻² respectively. High fixed carbon, low ash content and the presence of oxygen functional groups favors the power density [189]. Another



Fig. 12. Integration of hydrothermal carbonization technology (hydrochar) for direct carbon fuel cell (DCFC).

study determined the power density of three different biochar made of bare biochar and biochar-carbonate blend used as feedstock for direct carbon fuel. The findings revealed power outputs of up to 15.5 mW/cm² at 800 °C, owing to characteristics like porosity, acidity, volatile matter, carbon, hydrogen content and oxygenated surface functionalities [190]. In [191], researchers tested a bi-layer pellet for a dual-layer solid oxide fuel cell (DCFC) that utilized almond shell biochar as a power source. An enhancement in both the stability and performance of the cell was achieved by incorporating an NiO-SDC anode layer into the bi-layer pellet, which originally consisted of only a cathode and electrolyte. The introduction of the three-layer pellet cell at a temperature of 700 $^\circ C$ significantly improved the peak power density, from the initial value of 127 mW cm⁻² to 150 mW cm⁻². Through the convergence of two cutting-edge technologies, plastic hydrochar and direct carbon fuel cells (DCFCs) hold immense potential for transforming the landscape of sustainable energy (Fig. 12).

5. Challenges and research prospects of plastic-based hydrochar

The practicality of using plastics for hydrochar remains understudied and lacks comprehensive data [192]. Very limited studies exist on the energy balances, emissions, carbon offset, optimization, and overall cradle-to-grave analysis of hydrothermal carbonization of plastic waste [192,193], with most research focused on the HTC of biomass and PVC dechlorination. Even many of the studies on HTC have been done at lab scale levels (ranging around 0.2 to 2-L reactor volume) which are often limiting in terms of reactor design, size, pressure, reaction time etc.

The quality and consistency of plastic hydrochar as an SRF can vary based on the type of plastic feedstock and the hydrothermal carbonization process. Inconsistent hydrochar quality can lead to variations in energy content and combustion performance. The energy content or calorific value of plastic hydrochar should be optimized to ensure efficient combustion, but achieving a consistent and high calorific value across different batches of hydrochar can be challenging in practice. The combustion properties of plastic hydrochar, such as ignition temperature, flame characteristics, and emissions profile might differ from traditional solid fuels, and so understanding and managing these properties will be crucial for ensuring safe and efficient combustion. An additional complication is that plastic waste can often be contaminated with various substances, including non-combustible materials, heavy metals, and other pollutants. During the HTC conversion process, these additives may undergo transformations, potentially leading to the generation of by-products that can be challenging to manage. Some additives may resist complete decomposition, affecting the quality of the hydrochar produced. Contaminants in plastic waste may interfere with the intended energy recovery processes. For example, the presence of certain substances may alter the combustion characteristics, leading to incomplete combustion and reduced energy output. Additives or impurities in plastic waste can contribute to the corrosion or erosion of equipment, affecting the longevity of processing units and increasing maintenance requirements.

At a practical level, incorporating plastic hydrochar into existing solid fuel combustion systems might require slight modifications to equipment and processes to accommodate its unique characteristics. Finally, combustion of plastic hydrochar can result in unwanted emissions of greenhouse gases, particulate matter, and other pollutants. Assessing the economic feasibility of using plastic hydrochar as a SRF involves full consideration of the costs of production, processing, transportation, and compliance with regulations, as well as potential revenue from energy generation. Understanding the stability of plastic hydrochar during storage, transportation, and handling is also crucial to prevent degradation and ensure consistent combustion performance.

Hydrochar faces challenges as a catalyst, including variability in catalytic activity and selectivity, potential instability and durability issues, concerns about pore structure and accessibility, the risk of catalyst deactivation over time, and the need for effective regeneration methods. Reusability and scalability pose additional challenges, along with the complexity of understanding reaction mechanisms. Despite these obstacles, ongoing research aims to address these issues and optimize performance of hydrochar as a catalyst in diverse applications.

Hydrochar, like biochar, holds promise as a carbon source for supercapacitors, but challenges still exist. Ongoing research is focused on tailoring hydrochar synthesis methods for specific supercapacitor requirements. Other priorities are scaling up hydrochar production for large-scale use and investigating long-term stability. Supercapacitor performance relies on the quality, structure, and properties of plastic hydrochar-derived carbon, which must exhibit high surface area and conductivity. Contaminants from plastic feedstock and carbonization can impede conductivity and reduce capacitance. Achieving optimal specific capacitance and energy density with hydrochar-derived carbon presents difficulties due to non-optimized carbon properties. Designing efficient electrodes requires careful optimization, ensuring adhesion, uniformity, and ion accessibility. Long-term supercapacitor stability over charge-discharge cycles depends on plastic hydrochar resistance to degradation and capacity loss. Electrolyte compatibility is crucial; certain plastic hydrochar-derived carbons may hinder ion diffusion or cause electrolyte breakdown. Cost competitiveness with other supercapacitor materials also must be considered. Comparing plastic hydrochar-derived supercapacitors with established materials is vital to demonstrate performance viability.

The emergence of DCFC technology marks a significant advancement in energy conversion, yet it remains in its nascent stages. Incorporating hydrochar into DCFCs presents constraints, including the necessity for elevated operating temperatures, the occurrence of carbon deposits, and low power density. To overcome these obstacles, research is needed to investigate the diverse approach to enhance the performance of hydrochar-based DCFCs including methods like pre-treatment, activation, functionalization, and hydrochar doping. Some of the challenges to be addressed include the demand for durable and efficient electrode materials, alongside the need to manage carbon dioxide emissions. Plastic waste carries various contaminants, including additives and dyes, which can undermine performance by clogging electrodes and impairing efficiency due to the formation of residues. During operation, electrodes crafted from plastic hydrochar may be vulnerable to fouling and degradation due to impurities and contaminants, which can lead to diminishing electrode performance, in turn requiring frequent cleaning or replacement as mitigation. Furthermore, the carbonization process for plastic hydrochar can yield gas and tar byproducts, potentially obstructing electrode pores and hampering fuel cell efficiency. The variability in carbon quality can lead to uneven power generation and reduced overall efficiency. Striking the balance between optimizing the carbonization process for plastic hydrochar and ensuring the generation of high-quality carbon suitable for DCFC application presents a significant research avenue. Designing and fabricating electrodes compatible with hydrochar-derived carbon material is another challenge, demanding both conductivity and stability. Transitioning from laboratory-scale research to large-scale production and implementation raises challenges of maintaining consistent quality, scalability, and costeffectiveness. Ensuring the stability of plastic hydrochar carbon over the long term is essential for the sustained performance and durability of DCFC systems. Factors such as material degradation, corrosion, and structural changes must be meticulously assessed. Finally, even if these technical hurdles are overcome, the regulatory and safety landscape also comes into view, where the utilization of plastic waste and the hydrochar production process could trigger concerns related to emissions, waste management, and potential hazardous releases.

Due to concerns of microplastics, research should focus on complete decomposition of plastic hydrochars, to minimize the potential leaching of microplastics and any impacts on soil or water quality, to ensure safe and sustainable use.

6. Conclusions

Hydrothermal carbonization offers several advantages over alternative processes such as hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL) or gasification (HTG). The simplicity of hydrothermal carbonization, and its lower operating temperatures, in contrast to the more complex hydrothermal liquefaction or gasification processes, contributes effectively to minimizing energy consumption and operating costs. It also boasts higher carbon conversion efficiency, leading to increased yields of hydrochar. Hydrothermal carbonization produces hydrochar with lower emissions, thereby mitigating the environmental impact associated with waste management and energy production. Hydrothermal carbonization has emerged as a promising technique for transforming plastic waste into valuable products, with recent studies reporting promising results, suggesting the cost effectiveness and efficiency of hydrothermal carbonization. The suitability of hydrothermal carbonization of plastic waste conversion has been highlighted, and a range of potential hydrochar applications, including solid recovered fuels, catalysts, direct carbon fuel cells, and supercapacitors, have been presented. However, the practicality of using plastics for hydrochar remains understudied and lacks comprehensive data. The quality and consistency of plastic hydrochar as an energy source, the feedstock variability, contamination, scalability, material properties, and environmental considerations are some of the challenges of utilizing plastic hydrochar across different applications. Additionally, understanding the stability of plastic hydrochar during storage, transportation, and handling is crucial to prevent degradation and ensure consistent combustion performance. Despite these challenges, hydrothermal carbonization of plastic waste holds great promise for accelerating the trajectory towards a sustainable environment. Addressing the challenges associated with plastic hydrochar will be crucial in realizing the full potential of hydrothermal carbonization as a sustainable solution for addressing both the environmental issues associated with plastic waste and the need for alternative energy applications. The critical evaluation of the applications of hydrothermal carbonization can contribute to advancing sustainable waste management and renewable energy production.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Clovis Awah Che: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Philippe M. Heynderickx:** Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgements

This project is supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea (Grant No: 2022K1A3A1A78091315) and the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under the HORIZON-L4-2021-TWIN-TRANSITION-01 grant agreement No 101058412. Views and opinions expressed are, however, those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or HADEA. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them. The authors thank Prof. Jonathan Ozelton from the Center for Language and Learning (LLC) at

GUGC for proofreading the document. The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive remarks.

References

- OECD. Global plastics outlook: economic drivers, environmental impacts and policy options. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2022. https://doi.org/10.1787/ de747aef.en
- [2] Global plastic production 2021. Annual production of plastics worldwide from 1950 to 2021. Statista Research Department; 2023. www.statista.com/statistics /282732.
- [3] Plastics Europe, Plastics the fast facts 2023-1. www.plasticseurope.org.
- [4] Chamas A, Moon H, Zheng J, Qiu Y, Tabassum T, Jang JH, Abu-Omar M, Scott SL, Suh S. Degradation rates of plastics in the environment. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 2020;8(9):3494–511. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b06635.
- [5] Cabernard L, Pfister S, Oberschelp C, Hellweg S. Growing environmental footprint of plastics driven by coal combustion. Nat Sustain 2022;5(2):139–48. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-021-00807-2.
- [6] Stegmann P, Daioglou V, Londo M, van Vuuren DP, Junginger M. Plastic futures and their CO2 emissions. Nature 2022;612(7939):272–6. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41586-022-05422-5.
- [7] Lebreton L, Andrady A. Future scenarios of global plastic waste generation and disposal. Palgrave Commun 2019;5(1). https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-018-0212-7.
- [8] World economic forum, 2016 "The New Plastics Economy Rethinking the future of plastics Industry agenda, January 2016. https://www.weforum.org/reports /the-new-plastics-economy-rethinking-the-future-of-plastics.
- [9] Ozoemena MC, Coles SR. Hydrothermal treatment of waste plastics: an environmental impact study. J Polym Environ 2023;31(7):3120–30. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10924-023-02792-3.
- [10] Queiroz A, Pedroso GB, Kuriyama SN, Fidalgo-Neto AA. Subcritical and supercritical water for chemical recycling of plastic waste. Curr Opin Green Sustain Chem 2020;25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2020.100364.
- [11] Helmer Pedersen T, Conti F. Improving the circular economy via hydrothermal processing of high-density waste plastics. Waste Manage 2017;68:24–31. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.06.002.
- [12] Singh A, Tsai ML, Chen CW, Rani Singhania R, Kumar Patel A, Tambat V, Di DC. Role of hydrothermal pretreatment towards sustainable biorefinery. Bioresour Technol 2023;367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2022.128271.
- [13] Li WC, Tse HF, Fok L. Plastic waste in the marine environment: a review of sources, occurrence and effects. Sci Tot Environ 2016;566–567:333–49. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.084.
- [14] Lee SY, Sankaran R, Chew KW, Tan CH, Krishnamoorthy R, Chu D-T, Show P-L. Waste to bioenergy: a review on the recent conversion technologies. BMC Energy 2019;1(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s42500-019-0004-7.
- [15] Andreoni V, Saveyn HGM, Eder P. Polyethylene recycling: waste policy scenario analysis for the EU-27. J Environ Manage 2015;158:103–10. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.04.036.
- [16] Shen Y. A review on hydrothermal carbonization of biomass and plastic wastes to energy products. Biomass Bioenergy 2020;134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biombioe.2020.105479.
- [17] Hamad K, Kaseem M, Deri F. Recycling of waste from polymer materials: an overview of the recent works. Polym Degrad Stab 2013;98(12):2801–12. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2013.09.025.
- [18] Wang Y, Qiu L, Zhu M, Sun G, Zhang T, Kang K. Comparative evaluation of hydrothermal carbonization and low temperature pyrolysis of eucommia ulmoides Oliver for the production of solid biofuel. Sci Rep 2019;9(1). https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-38849-4.
- [19] Mumtaz H, S.Sobek, Werle S, Sajdak M, Muzyka R. Hydrothermal treatment of plastic waste within a circular economy perspective. Sustain Chem Pharm 2023; 32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scp.2023.100991.
- [20] Iñiguez ME, Conesa JA, Fullana A. Hydrothermal carbonization (HTC) of marine plastic debris. Fuel 2019;257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2019.116033.
- [21] Y. Wang, Y.J. Hu, X. Hao, P. Peng, J.Y.Shi, F. Peng, R.C. Sun. Hydrothermal synthesis and applications of advanced carbonaceous materials from biomass: a review. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42114-020-00158-0. doi:10.1007/s42114-020-00158-0/Published.
- [22] Liu D, Xu B, Zhu J, Tang S, Xu F, Li S, Jia B, Chen G. Preparation of highly porous graphitic activated carbon as electrode materials for supercapacitors by hydrothermal pretreatment-assisted chemical activation. ACS Omega 2020;5(19): 11058–67. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c00938.
- [23] Fuertes AB, Sevilla M. Superior capacitive performance of hydrochar-based porous carbons in aqueous electrolytes. ChemSusChem 2015;8(6):1049–57. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201403267.
- [24] Zhu X, Liu Y, Qian F, Zhou C, Zhang S, Chen J. Role of hydrochar properties on the porosity of hydrochar-based porous carbon for their sustainable application. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 2015;3(5):833–40. https://doi.org/10.1021/ acssuschemeng.5b00153.
- [25] Schonvogel D, Nowotny M, Woriescheck T, Multhaupt H, Wagner P, Dyck A, Agert C, Wark M. Hydrothermal carbonization-derived carbon from waste biomass as renewable pt support for fuel cell applications: role of carbon activation. Energy Technol 2019;7(11). https://doi.org/10.1002/ ente.201900344.

- [26] Steurer E, Ardissone G. Hydrothermal carbonization and gasification technology for electricity production using biomass. Energy Procedia. Vol. 79. Elsevier Ltd; 2015. p. 47–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2015.11.473.
- [27] Li X, Zhang C, Li Y, Zhi Q. The status of municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI) in China and its clean development. Energy Procedia. Vol. 104. Elsevier Ltd; 2016. p. 498–503. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2016.12.084.
- [28] Lachos-Perez D, César Torres-Mayanga P, Abaide ER, Zabot GL, De Castilhos F. Hydrothermal carbonization and Liquefaction: differences, progress, challenges, and opportunities. Bioresour Technol 2022;343. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2021.126084.
- [29] Feng SH, Li GH. Hydrothermal and Solvothermal Syntheses. Modern inorganic synthetic chemistry: second edition. Elsevier Inc.; 2017. p. 73–104. https://doi. org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63591-4.00004-5.
- [30] Okolie JA, Nanda S, Dalai AK, Berruti F, Kozinski JA. A review on subcritical and supercritical water gasification of biogenic, polymeric and petroleum wastes to hydrogen-rich synthesis gas. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2020;119:109546. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109546. https://linkinghub.elsevier. com/retrieve/pii/S1364032119307543.
- [31] Elliott DC, Biller P, Ross AB, Schmidt AJ, Jones SB. Hydrothermal liquefaction of biomass: developments from batch to continuous process. Bioresour Technol 2015;178:147–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.132.
- [32] Liu T, Tian L, Liu Z, He J, Fu H, Huang Q, Xue H, Huang Z. Distribution and toxicity of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons during CaO-assisted hydrothermal carbonization of sewage sludge. Waste Manage. 2021;120:616–25. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.wasman.2020.10.025.
- [33] Tasca AL, Vitolo S, Gori R, Mannarino G, Raspolli AMG, Puccini M. Hydrothermal carbonization of digested sewage sludge: the fate of heavy metals, PAHs, PCBs, dioxins and pesticides. Chemosphere 2022;307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemosphere.2022.135997.
- [34] Nishida H. Development of materials and technologies for control of polymer recycling. Polym J 2011;43(5):435–47. https://doi.org/10.1038/pj.2011.16.
- [35] Moriya T, Enomoto H. Characteristics of polyethylene cracking in supercritical water compared to thermal cracking. Polym. Degrad. Stab. 1999;65(3):373–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-3910(99)00026-9.
- [36] Liu Y, Chandra Akula K, Phani Raj Dandamudi K, Liu Y, Xu M, Sanchez A, Zhu D, Deng S. Effective depolymerization of polyethylene plastic wastes under hydrothermal and solvothermal liquefaction conditions. Chem Eng J 2022;446. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.137238.
- [37] Elhassan M, Abdullah R, Kooh MRR, Chou Chau YF. Hydrothermal liquefaction: a technological review on reactor design and operating parameters. Bioresour Technol Rep 2023;21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2022.101314.
- [38] Lachos-Perez D, Brown AB, Mudhoo A, Martinez J, Timko MT, Rostagno MA, Forster-Carneiro T. Applications of subcritical and supercritical water conditions for extraction, hydrolysis, gasification, and carbonization of biomass: a critical review. Biofuel Res J 2017;4(2):611–26. https://doi.org/10.18331/ BRJ2017.4.2.6.
- [39] Vujanović A, Puhar J, Čolnik M, Plohl O, Vidovič T, Volmajer Valh J, Škerget M, Čuček L. Sustainable industrial ecology and environmental analysis: a case of melamine etherified resin fibres. J Clean Prod 2022;369. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.133301.
- [40] Laredo GC, Reza J, Meneses Ruiz E. Hydrothermal liquefaction processes for plastics recycling: a review. Clean Chem Eng 2023;5:100094. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.clce.2023.100094.
- [41] Zhao P, Lin C, Li Y, Zhang J, Huang N, Cui X, Liu F, Guo Q. Combustion and slagging characteristics of hydrochar derived from the co-hydrothermal carbonization of PVC and alkali coal. Energy 2022;244. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.energy.2021.122653.
- [42] Darzi R, Dubowski Y, Posmanik R. Hydrothermal processing of polyethyleneterephthalate and nylon-6 mixture as a plastic waste upcycling treatment: a comprehensive multi-phase analysis. Waste Manage. 2022;143:223–31. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2022.03.002.
- [43] Liu Y, Yu Z, Wang B, Li P, Zhu J, Ma S. Closed-loop chemical recycling of thermosetting polymers and their applications: a review. Green Chem. 2022;24 (15):5691–708. https://doi.org/10.1039/D2GC00368F.
- [44] Ruiz HA, Rodríguez-Jasso RM, Fernandes BD, Vicente AA, Teixeira JA. Hydrothermal processing, as an alternative for upgrading agriculture residues and marine biomass according to the biorefinery concept: a review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2013;21:35–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.11.069.
- [45] Yoganandham ST, Sathyamoorthy G, Renuka RR. Emerging extraction techniques: hydrothermal processing. Sustainable seaweed technologies: cultivation, biorefinery, and applications. Elsevier; 2020. p. 191–205. https://doi. org/10.1016/B978-0-12-817943-7.00007-X.
- [46] Zhao X, Zhan L, Xie B, Gao B. Products derived from waste plastics (PC, HIPS, ABS, PP and PA6) via hydrothermal treatment: characterization and potential applications. Chemosphere 2018;207:742–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemosphere.2018.05.156.
- [47] Ning X, Teng H, Wang G, Zhang J, Zhang N, Huang C, Wang C. Physiochemical, structural and combustion properties of hydrochar obtained by hydrothermal carbonization of waste polyvinyl chloride. Fuel 2020;270. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.fuel.2020.117526.
- [48] Zhang L, Wang Q, Xu F, Wang Z, Zhang G. Insights into the evolution of chemical structures in hydrochars from hydrothermal carbonization of PVC. J Energy Inst 2022;105:323–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joei.2022.09.004.
- [49] Poerschmann J, Weiner B, Woszidlo S, Koehler R, Kopinke FD. Hydrothermal carbonization of poly(vinyl chloride). Chemosphere 2015;119:682–9. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.07.058.

- [50] Wang F, Wang J, Gu C, Han Y, Zan S, Wu S. Effects of process water recirculation on solid and liquid products from hydrothermal carbonization of Laminaria. Bioresour. Technol. 2019;292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.121996.
- [51] Song C, Yuan W, Shan S, Ma Q, Zhang H, Wang X, Niazi NK, Wang H. Changes of nutrients and potentially toxic elements during hydrothermal carbonization of pig manure. Chemosphere 2020;243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemosphere.2019.125331.
- [52] Nakason K, Panyapinyopol B, Kanokkantapong V, Viriya-empikul N, Kraithong W, Pavasant P. Hydrothermal carbonization of unwanted biomass materials: effect of process temperature and retention time on hydrochar and liquid fraction. J Energy Inst 2018;91(5):786–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. joei.2017.05.002.
- [53] Köchermann J, Görsch K, Wirth B, Mühlenberg J, Klemm M. Hydrothermal carbonization: temperature influence on hydrochar and aqueous phase composition during process water recirculation. J Environ Chem Eng 2018;6(4): 5481–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2018.07.053.
- [54] Islam MT, Chambers C, Toufiq Reza M. Effects of process liquid recirculation on material properties of hydrochar and corresponding adsorption of cationic dye. J Anal Appl Pyrolysis 2022;161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2021.105418.
- [55] Zhao P, Li Z, Li T, Yan W, Ge S. The study of nickel effect on the hydrothermal dechlorination of PVC. J Clean Prod 2017;152:38–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclepro.2017.03.101.
- [56] Lu J, Ma S, Gao J. Study on the pressurized hydrolysis dechlorination of PVC. Energy Fuels 2002;16(5):1251–5. https://doi.org/10.1021/ef020048t.
- [57] Becker R, Dorgerloh U, Paulke E, Mumme J, Nehls I. Hydrothermal carbonization of biomass: major organic components of the aqueous phase. Chem. Eng. Technol. 2014;37(3):511–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/ceat.201300401.
- [58] Langone M, Basso D. Process waters from hydrothermal carbonization of sludge: characteristics and possible valorization pathways. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17(18):1–31. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17186618.
- [59] Chen X, Ma X, Peng X, Lin Y, Wang J, Zheng C. Effects of aqueous phase recirculation in hydrothermal carbonization of sweet potato waste. Bioresour Technol 2018;267:167–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.07.032.
- [60] Lu T, Jan K, Chen WT. Hydrothermal liquefaction of pretreated polyethylenebased ocean-bound plastic waste in supercritical water. J Energy Inst 2022;105: 282–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joei.2022.10.003.
- [61] Rahman T, Jahromi H, Roy P, Bhattarai A, Ammar M, Baltrusaitis J, Adhikari S. Depolymerization of household plastic waste via catalytic hydrothermal liquefaction. Energy Fuels 2023;37(17):13202–17. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. energyfuels.3c01706.
- [62] Čolnik M, Kotnik P, Knez Ž, Škerget M. Hydrothermal decomposition of polyethylene waste to hydrocarbons rich oil. J Supercrit Fluids 2021;169. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.supflu.2020.105136.
- [63] Jin K, Vozka P, Kilaz G, Chen WT, Wang NHL. Conversion of polyethylene waste into clean fuels and waxes via hydrothermal processing (HTP). Fuel 2020;273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2020.117726.
- [64] Seshasayee MS, Savage PE. Oil from plastic via hydrothermal liquefaction: production and characterization. Appl Energy 2020;278. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.115673.
- [65] Harisankar S, Vinu R. Comprehensive evaluation of municipal solid wastes and mixed feedstocks for commercial hydrothermal liquefaction in bio-refineries. Fuel 2023;339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.127236.
- [66] Poravou CA, Tsongidis NI, Lekkos C, Zacharopoulou VA, Konstandopoulos AG. Valorization of plastic waste: a lab-scale approach with the aid of solar hydrothermal liquefaction technology. Waste Biomass Valorization 2022;13(9): 3835–44. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-022-01837-3.
- [67] Xiao R, Jin B, Zhou H, Zhong Z, Zhang M. Air gasification of polypropylene plastic waste in fluidized bed gasifier. Energy Convers Manage 2007;48(3):778–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2006.09.004.
- [68] Saad JM, Williams PT. Catalytic dry reforming of waste plastics from different waste treatment plants for production of synthesis gases. Waste Manage 2016;58: 214–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.09.011.
- [69] Wu C, Williams PT. Hydrogen production by steam gasification of polypropylene with various nickel catalysts. ApplCatal B: Environ 2009;87(3–4):152–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2008.09.003.
- [70] Zheng Q, Li Z, Watanabe M. Production of solid fuels by hydrothermal treatment of wastes of biomass, plastic, and biomass/plastic mixtures: a review. J Bioresour Bioprod 2022;7(4):221–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobab.2022.09.004.
- [71] Kumar M, Bolan S, Padhye LP, Konarova M, Foong SY, Lam SS, Wagland S, Cao R, Li Y, Batalha N, et al. Retrieving back plastic wastes for conversion to value added petrochemicals: opportunities, challenges and outlooks. Appl Energy 2023;345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2023.121307.
 [72] Bai B, Liu Y, Wang Q, Zou J, Zhang H, Jin H, Li X. Experimental investigation on
- [72] Bai B, Liu Y, Wang Q, Zou J, Zhang H, Jin H, Li X. Experimental investigation on gasification characteristics of plastic wastes in supercritical water. Renew Energy 2019;135:32–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.11.092.
- [73] Saebea D, Ruengrit P, Arpornwichanop A, Patcharavorachot Y. Gasification of plastic waste for synthesis gas production. Energy Reports. Vol. 6. Elsevier Ltd; 2020. p. 202–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2019.08.043.
- [74] Prifti K, Galeazzi A, Manenti F. Plastic waste chemical recycling to methanol: combined experimental and plant-wide simulated approach. Ind Eng Chem Res 2023. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.2c02665. Apr 4.
- [75] González-Arias J, Sánchez ME, Cara-Jiménez J, Baena-Moreno FM, Zhang Z. Hydrothermal carbonization of biomass and waste: a review. Environ Chem Lett 2022;20(1):211–21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01311-x.
- [76] Cheng F, Li X. Preparation and application of biochar-based catalysts for biofuel production. Catalysts 2018;8(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/catal8090346.

- [77] Chernova NI, Grigorenko AV, Kiseleva SV, Larina OM, Kumar V, Vlaskin MS. Comparative evaluation of pyrolysis and hydrothermal liquefaction for obtaining biofuel from a sustainable consortium of microalgae arthrospira platensis with heterotrophic bacteria. Processes 2022;10(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/ pr10112202.
- [78] Huang J, Feng Y, Xie H, Wu P, Wang M, Wang B, Zhang Q, Zhang S, Liu Z. A bibliographic study reviewing the last decade of hydrochar in environmental application: history, status quo, and trending research paths. Biochar 2023;5(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42773-023-00210-4.
- [79] Li T, Zhao P, Lei M, Li Z. Understanding hydrothermal dechlorination of PVC by focusing on the operating conditions and hydrochar characteristics. Appl Sci (Switzerland) 2017;7(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/app7030256.
- [80] Kumar S, Ankaram S. Waste-to-energy model/tool presentation. Current developments in biotechnology and bioengineering: waste treatment processes for energy generation. Elsevier; 2019. p. 239–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-64083-3.00012-9.
- [81] Maniscalco MP, Volpe M, Messineo A. Hydrothermal carbonization as a valuable tool for energy and environmental applications: a review. Energies 2020;13(15). https://doi.org/10.3390/en13164098.
- [82] Islam MT, Sultana AI, Chambers C, Saha S, Saha N, Kirtania K, Reza MT. Recent progress on emerging applications of hydrochar. Energies 2022;15(24). https:// doi.org/10.3390/en15249340.
- [83] Hokuto Kogyo hydrothermal carbonization plant (2023). 106-20, Aza Ikushinakita, Shari-cho, HokkaidoJapan. Hokuto Kogyo – Hydrothermal Waste Processing.
- [84] Zhu JWei, Liu Y, Liu X, Li J, Zhang J. Assessing the effect on the generation of environmentally persistent free radicals in hydrothermal carbonization of sewage sludge. Sci Rep 2019;9(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53781-3.
- [85] Maniscalco MP, Volpe M, Messineo A. Hydrothermal carbonization as a valuable tool for energy and environmental applications: a review. Energies 2020;13(15). https://doi.org/10.3390/en13164098.
- [86] Zhai Z, Zhang L, Du T, Ren B, Xu Y, Wang S, Miao J, Liu Z. A review of carbon materials for supercapacitors. Mater Des 2022;221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. matdes.2022.111017.
- [87] Ye C, Yu F, Huang Y, Hua M, Zhang S, Feng J. Hydrochar as an environmentfriendly additive to improve the performance of biodegradable plastics. Sci Tot Environ 2022;832. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155124.
- [88] Masoumi S, Borugadda VB, Nanda S, Dalai AK. Hydrochar: a review on its production technologies and applications. Catalysts 2021;11(8). https://doi.org/ 10.3390/catal11080939.
- [89] Moreno J, Schmid M, Scharr S, Scheffknecht G. Oxy-combustion of solid recovered fuel in a semi-industrial CFB reactor: on the implications of gas atmosphere and combustion temperature. ACS Omega 2022;7(10):8950–9. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c07334.
- [90] Iacovidou E, Hahladakis J, Deans I, Velis C, Purnell P. Technical properties of biomass and solid recovered fuel (SRF) co-fired with coal: impact on multidimensional resource recovery value. Waste Manage. 2018;73:535–45. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.07.001.
- [91] A.M. Carpenter, 2013, Advances in multi-pollutant control. CCC/227 ISBN 978-92-9029-547-1 November 2013 copyright © IEA Clean Coal Centre. https://api. semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:167215180.
- [92] Gao X., Zheng C., Chiang P.-C., Cen K. Advanced Topics in Science and Technology in China 63. http://www.springer.com/series/7887.
- [93] Koebel M., Elsener M., Kleemann M. Urea-SCR: a promising technique to reduce NO x emissions from automotive diesel engines. 2000.
- [94] Santoleri JJ. Hazardous Waste Incineration. Encyclopedia of physical science and technology. Elsevier; 2003. p. 223–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-12-227410-5/00308-2.
- [95] Chin T., Tam I.C., Yin C.-Y. Comparison of various chemical compounds for the removal of SO 2 and NO x with wet scrubbing for marine diesel engines. htt ps://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-16155-9. doi:10.1007/s11356-021-16155-9/ Published.
- [96] Chen C, Ting Y, Ch'ng BL, Hsi HC. Influence of sulfide, chloride and dissolved organic matter on mercury adsorption by activated carbon in aqueous system. Sustain Environ Res 2020;30(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s42834-020-00065-5.
- [97] United states environmental protection agency "Control of mercury emissions from coal-fired electric utility boilers." Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division National Risk Management Research Laboratory Office of Research and Development U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Research Triangle Park, NC.
- [98] United states Environmental protection agency. Carbon adsorption for control of VOCs emissions: theory and full-scale system performance. Office of Air Quality, Planning and Standards Research Triangle Park NC 27711; 1988. EPA-450/3-88-012. June.
- [99] Sivaranjanee R, Kumar PS, Rangasamy G. A recent advancement on hydrothermal carbonization of biomass to produce hydrochar for pollution control. Carbon Lett. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42823-023-00576-2.
- [100] Zhang L, Wang Q, Xu F, Wang Z. Insights into the evolution of chemical structure and pyrolysis reactivity of PVC-derived hydrochar during hydrothermal carbonization. RSC Adv. 2023;13(39):27212–24. https://doi.org/10.1039/ d3ra04986h.
- [101] Čolnik M, Pečar D, Knez Ž, Goršek A, Škerget M. Kinetics study of hydrothermal degradation of pet waste into useful products. Processes 2022;10(1). https://doi. org/10.3390/pr10010024.
- [102] Sato O, Arai K, Shirai M. Hydrolysis of poly(ethylene terephthalate) and poly (ethylene 2,6-naphthalene dicarboxylate) using water at high temperature: effect

of proton on low ethylene glycol yield. Catal. Today 2006;111:297–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2005.10.040. Vol.

- [103] Nicolae SA, Au H, Modugno P, Luo H, Szego AE, Qiao M, Li L, Yin W, Heeres HJ, Berge N, et al. Recent advances in hydrothermal carbonisation: from tailored carbon materials and biochemicals to applications and bioenergy. Green Chem. 2020;22(15):4747–800. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0gc00998a.
- [104] Zhao X, Xia Y, Zhan L, Xie B, Gao B, Wang J. Hydrothermal treatment of E-waste plastics for tertiary recycling: product slate and decomposition mechanisms. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 2019;7(1):1464–73. https://doi.org/10.1021/ acssuschemene.8b05147.
- [105] Kwak H, Shin H-Y, Bae S-Y, Kumazawa H. Characteristics and kinetics of degradation of polystyrene in supercritical water. J Appl Polym Sci 2006;101(1): 695–700. https://doi.org/10.1002/app.23896.
- [106] Bai B, Jin H, Fan C, Cao C, Wei W, Cao W. Experimental investigation on liquefaction of plastic waste to oil in supercritical water. Waste Manage. 2019;89: 247–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.04.017.
- [107] Shen Y. Dechlorination of Poly(vinyl chloride) Wastes via Hydrothermal Carbonization with Lignin for Clean Solid Fuel Production. Ind Eng Chem Res 2016;55(44):11638–44. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.6b03365.
- [108] Ling M, Ma D, Hu X, Liu Z, Wang D, Feng Q. Hydrothermal treatment of polyvinyl chloride: reactors, dechlorination chemistry, application, and challenges. Chemosphere 2023;316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.137718.
- [109] Adolfsson KH, Lin CF, Hakkarainen M. Microwave assisted hydrothermal carbonization and solid state postmodification of carbonized polypropylene. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 2018;6(8):11105–14. https://doi.org/10.1021/ acssuschemeng. 8b02580.
- [110] Liu Z, Ma D, Liang L, Hu X, Ling M, Zhou Z, Fu L, Liu Z, Feng Q. Co-hydrothermal dechlorination of PVC plastic and bagasse: hydrochar combustion characteristics and gas emission behavior. Process Saf. Environ Protect 2022;161:88–99. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2022.03.021.
- [111] Bardhan M, Novera TM, Tabassum M, Islam MA, Islam MA, Hameed BH. Cohydrothermal carbonization of different feedstocks to hydrochar as potential energy for the future world: a review. J Clean Prod 2021;298. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126734.
- [112] Kasar P, Ahmaruzzaman M. Correlative HHV prediction from proximate and ultimate analysis of char obtained from co-cracking of residual fuel oil with plastics. Korean J Chem Eng 2021;38(7):1370–80. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11814-021-0790-8.
- [113] Tekin K, Karagöz S, Bektaş S. A review of hydrothermal biomass processing. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2014;40:673–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. rser.2014.07.216.
- [114] Wang T, Zhai Y, Zhu Y, Li C, Zeng G. A review of the hydrothermal carbonization of biomass waste for hydrochar formation: process conditions, fundamentals, and physicochemical properties. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2018;90:223–47. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.03.071.
- [115] Mazumder S, Saha P, Reza MT. Co-hydrothermal carbonization of coal waste and food waste: fuel characteristics. Biomass Convers Bioref 2022;12(1):3–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-020-00771-5.
- [116] Assis EINC, Chirwa EMN. Fuel properties and combustion performance of hydrochars prepared by hydrothermal carbonization of different recycling paper mill wastes. Can J Chem Eng 2023;101(3):1123–37. https://doi.org/10.1002/ cjce.24708.
- [117] Ye L, Zhang J, Wang G, Wang C, Mao X, Ning X, Zhang N, Teng H, Li J, Wang C. Feasibility analysis of plastic and biomass hydrochar for blast furnace injection. Energy 2023;263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2022.125903.
- [118] Vlaskin MS, Vladimirov GN. Hydrothermal carbonization of organic components from municipal solid waste. Theor Found Chem Eng 2018;52(6):996–1003. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0040579518050421.
- [119] Shen Y, Yu S, Ge S, Chen X, Ge X, Chen M. Hydrothermal carbonization of medical wastes and lignocellulosic biomass for solid fuel production from lab-scale to pilot-scale. Energy 2017;118:312–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. energy.2016.12.047.
- [120] Marczak H. Energy inputs on the production of plastic products. J Ecol Eng 2022; 23(9):146–56. https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/151815.
- [121] Shen Y, Zhao R, Wang J, Chen X, Ge X, Chen M. Waste-to-energy: dehalogenation of plastic-containing wastes. Waste Manage 2016;49:287–303. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.wasman.2015.12.024.
- [122] Budyk Y, Fullana A. Hydrothermal carbonization of disposable diapers. J Environ Chem Eng 2019;7(5). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2019.103341.
- [123] Shen Y. A review on hydrothermal carbonization of biomass and plastic wastes to energy products. Biomass Bioenergy 2020;134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biombioe.2020.105479.
- [124] Ural S, Akiylidz M. Studies of the relationship between mineral matter and grinding properties for low-rank coals. Int J Coal Geol 2004;60(1):81–4. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.coal.2004.07.001.
- [125] Sengupta AN. An assessment of grindability index of coal. Fuel Process Technol 2002;76(1). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3820(01)00236-3.
- [126] Nunes LJR, Matias JCO, Loureiro LMEF, Sá LCR, Silva HFC, Rodrigues AM, Causer TP, Devallance DB, Ciolkosz DE. Evaluation of the potential of agricultural waste recovery: energy densification as a factor for residual biomass logistics optimization. Appl Sci (Switzerland) 2021;11(1):1–23. https://doi.org/10.3390/ app11010020.
- [127] Pahla G, Mamvura TA, Ntuli F, Muzenda E. Energy densification of animal waste lignocellulose biomass and raw biomass. S Afr J Chem Eng 2017;24:168–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajce.2017.10.004.

- [128] Tang L, Xiao J, Mao Q, Zhang Z, Yao Z, Zhu X, Ye S, Zhong Q. Thermogravimetric analysis of the combustion characteristics and combustion kinetics of coals subjected to different chemical demineralization processes. ACS Omega 2022;7 (16):13998–4008. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c00522.
- [129] Volpe M, Luz FC, Saha N, Reza MT, Mosonik MC, Volpe R, Messineo A. Enhancement of energy and combustion properties of hydrochar via citric acid catalysed secondary char production. Biomass Convers. Bioref. 2021. https://doi. org/10.1007/s13399-021-01816-z.
- [130] Ma R, Fakudze S, Shang Q, Wei Y, Chen J, Liu C, Han J, Chu Q. Catalytic hydrothermal carbonization of pomelo peel for enhanced combustibility of coal/ hydrochar blends and reduced CO2 emission. Fuel 2021;304. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.fuel.2021.121422.
- [131] Huang C, Yu C, Wang G, Zhang J, Ning X, Wang C. Comparison of structural characteristics and combustibility analysis about hydrochar and pyrochar. J Therm Anal Calorim 2022;147(19):10509–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10973-022-11221-x.
- [132] Zhang Y, Guo Y, Cheng F, Yan K, Cao Y. Investigation of combustion characteristics and kinetics of coal gangue with different feedstock properties by thermogravimetric analysis. Thermochim Acta 2015;614:137–48. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tca.2015.06.018.
- [133] Zou C, Zhao J, Li X, Shi R. Effects of catalysts on combustion reactivity of anthracite and coal char with low combustibility at low/high heating rate. J Therm Anal Calorim 2016;126(3):1469–80. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-016-5806-y.
- [134] Li XG, Ma BG, Xu L, Hu ZW, Wang XG. Thermogravimetric analysis of the cocombustion of the blends with high ash coal and waste tyres. Thermochim Acta 2006;441(1):79–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tca.2005.11.044.
- [135] Ma BG, Li XG, Xu L, Wang K, Wang XG. Investigation on catalyzed combustion of high ash coal by thermogravimetric analysis. Thermochim Acta 2006;445(1): 19–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tca.2006.03.021.
- [136] Tahmid Islam M, Klinger JL, Toufiq Reza M. Evaluating combustion characteristics and combustion kinetics of corn stover-derived hydrochars by cone calorimeter. Chem Eng J 2023;452. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.139419.
- [137] Jiang X, Shi G, Wang G, Mishra P, Liu C, Dong Y, Zhang P, Tian H, Liu Y, Wang Z, et al. A hydrothermal carbonization process for the preparation of activated carbons from hemp straw: an efficient electrode material for supercapacitor application. Ionics (Kiel) 2019;25(7):3299–307. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11581-019-02850-8.
- [138] Dai C, Wan J, Yang J, Qu S, Jin T, Ma F, Shao J. H 3 PO 4 solution hydrothermal carbonization combined with KOH activation to prepare argy wormwood-based porous carbon for high-performance supercapacitors. Appl Surf Sci 2018;444: 105–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2018.02.261.
- [139] Ren M, Jia Z, Tian Z, Lopez D, Cai J, Titirici MM, Jorge AB. High performance Ndoped carbon electrodes obtained via hydrothermal carbonization of macroalgae for supercapacitor applications. ChemElectroChem 2018;5(18):2686–93. https:// doi.org/10.1002/celc.201800603.
- [140] Fan Y, Yang X, Zhu B, Liu PF, Lu HT. Micro-mesoporous carbon spheres derived from carrageenan as electrode material for supercapacitors. J Power Sources 2014;268:584–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.06.100.
 [141] Stenny Winata A, Devianto H, Frida Susanti R. Synthesis of activated carbon from
- [141] Stenny Winata A, Devianto H, Frida Susanti R. Synthesis of activated carbon from salacca peel with hydrothermal carbonization for supercapacitor application. Mater Today: Proceed 2020;Vol. 44:3268–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. matpr.2020.11.515. Elsevier Ltd.
- [142] Dat NT, Tran TTV, Van CN, Vo DVN, Kongparakul S, Zhang H, Guan G, Samart C. Carbon sequestration through hydrothermal carbonization of expired fresh milk and its application in supercapacitor. Biomass Bioenergy 2020;143. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2020.105836.
- [143] Wei L, Sevilla M, Fuertes AB, Mokaya R, Yushin G. Hydrothermal carbonization of abundant renewable natural organic chemicals for high-performance supercapacitor electrodes. Adv Energy Mater 2011;1(3):356–61. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/aenm.201100019.
- [144] Sevilla M, Fuertes AB. A green approach to high-performance supercapacitor electrodes: the chemical activation of hydrochar with potassium bicarbonate. ChemSusChem 2016;9(14):1880–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201600426.
- [145] Dou Q, Park HS. Perspective on high-energy carbon-based supercapacitors. Energy Environ Mater 2020;3(3):286–305. https://doi.org/10.1002/ eem2.12102.
- [146] Ding L, Wang Z, Li Y, Du Y, Liu H, Guo Y. A novel hydrochar and nickel composite for the electrochemical supercapacitor electrode material. Mater Lett 2012;74: 111–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2012.01.070.
- [147] Shi J, Jiang B, Liu Z, Li C, Yan F, Liu X, Li H, ChaoYang Dong D. Effects of specific surface area of electrode and different electrolyte on capacitance properties in nano porous-structure CrN thin film electrode for supercapacitor. Ceram Int 2021; 47(13):18540–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2021.03.177.
- [148] Arenas Esteban D, Guerrero Martínez A, Carretero González J, Birss VI, Otero-Díaz LC, Ávila Brande D. Tunable supercapacitor materials derived from hydrochar/gold nanograpes. ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 2020;3(9):9348–59. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaem.0c01711.
- [149] Xu Y, Zhang J, Jia G, Ji D, Ding Y, Zhao P. Evaluating malachite green removal from aqueous solution by hydroxyl enhanced hydrochar and biomass. Biomass Convers Bioref 2023. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-022-03647-y.
- [150] Hwang H, Lee JH, Ahmed MA, Choi JW. Evaluation of pyrochar and hydrochar derived activated carbons for biosorbent and supercapacitor materials. J Environ Manage 2021;298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113436.
- [151] Vijayakumar M, Bharathi Sankar A, Sri Rohita D, Rao TN, Karthik M. Conversion of biomass waste into high performance supercapacitor electrodes for real-time

supercapacitor applications. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 2019;7(20):17175–85. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b03568.

- [152] Sevilla M, Ferrero GA, Fuertes AB. Beyond KOH activation for the synthesis of superactivated carbons from hydrochar. Carbon N Y 2017;114:50–8. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.carbon.2016.12.010.
- [153] Mu X, Li Y, Liu X, Ma C, Jiang H, Zhu J, Chen X, Tang T, Mijowska E. Controllable carbonization of plastic waste into three-dimensional porous carbon nanosheets by combined catalyst for high performance capacitor. Nanomaterials 2020;10(6). https://doi.org/10.3390/nano10061097.
- [154] Liu D, Wang Y, Jia B, Wei J, Liu C, Zhu J, Tang S, Wu Z, Chen G. Microwaveassisted hydrothermal preparation of corn straw hydrochar as supercapacitor electrode materials. ACS Omega 2020;5(40):26084–93. https://doi.org/10.1021/ acsomega.0c03605.
- [155] Kigozi M, Kasozi GN, Balaso, Mohite S, Zamisa S, Karpoormath R, Kirabira JB, Tebandeke E. Non-emission hydrothermal low-temperature synthesis of carbon nanomaterials from poly (ethylene terephthalate) plastic waste for excellent supercapacitor applications. Green Chem Lett Rev 2023;16(1). https://doi.org/ 10.1080/17518253.2023.2173025.
- [156] Siddiqui MTH, Nizamuddin S, Baloch HA, Mubarak NM, Dumbre DK, Inamuddin, Asiri AM, Bhutto AW, Srinivasan M, Griffin GJ. Synthesis of magnetic carbon nanocomposites by hydrothermal carbonization and pyrolysis. Environ Chem Lett 2018;16(3):821–44. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-018-0724-9.
- [157] Roldán S, Granda M, Menéndez R, Santamaría R, Blanco C. Mechanisms of energy storage in carbon-based supercapacitors modified with a quinoid redox-active electrolyte. J Phys Chem C 2011;115(35):17606–11. https://doi.org/10.1021/ jp205100v.
- [158] Xie D, Huang J, Wang Z, Hu W, Liu C, Wang D, Li X, Qiao Y. Activated carbon derived from hydrochar of food waste for supercapacitor: effect of components on electrochemical performance. Fuel Process Technol 2023;244. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.fuproc.2023.107691.
- [159] Muzaffar A, Ahamed MB, Deshmukh K, Thirumalai J. A review on recent advances in hybrid supercapacitors: design, fabrication and applications. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2019;101:123–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. rser.2018.10.026.
- [160] Lopes RP, Astruc D. Biochar as a support for nanocatalysts and other reagents: recent advances and applications. Coord Chem Rev 2021;426. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ccr.2020.213585.
- [161] Cao X, Sun S, Sun R. Application of biochar-based catalysts in biomass upgrading: a review. RSC Adv 2017;7(77):48793–805. https://doi.org/10.1039/c7ra09307a.
- [162] Daimary N, Eldiehy KSH, Boruah P, Deka D, Bora U, Kakati BK. Potato peels as a sustainable source for biochar, bio-oil and a green heterogeneous catalyst for biodiesel production. J Environ Chem Eng 2022;10(1). https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jece.2021.107108.
- [163] Balajii M, Niju S. Biochar-derived heterogeneous catalysts for biodiesel production. Environ Chem Lett 2019;17(4):1447–69. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10311-019-00885-x.
- [164] Kumar S, Soomro SA, Harijan K, Uqaili MA, Kumar L. Advancements of biocharbased catalyst for improved production of biodiesel: a Comprehensive Review. Energies 2023;16(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/en16020644.
 [165] Jiménez Toro MJ, Dou X, Ajewole I, Wang J, Chong K, Ai N, Zeng G, Chen T.
- [165] Jiménez Toro MJ, Dou X, Ajewole I, Wang J, Chong K, Ai N, Zeng G, Chen T. Preparation and optimization of macroalgae-derived solid acid catalysts. Waste Biomass Valorization 2019;10(4):805–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-017-0101-0.
- [166] Gallant R, Farooque AA, He S, Kang K, Hu Y. A mini-review: biowaste-derived fuel pellet by hydrothermal carbonization followed by pelletizing. Sustainability (Switzerland) 2022;14(19). https://doi.org/10.3390/su141912530.
- [167] Yang S, Chen Z, Wang J, Li D, Luo L, Liu Z. Catalytic pyrolysis of hydrochar by calcined eggshells for bioenergy production: improved thermo-kinetic studies and reduced pollutant emissions. Catalysts 2023;13(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/ catal13091297.
- [168] Chi NTL, Anto S, Ahamed TS, Kumar SS, Shanmugam S, Samuel MS, Mathimani T, Brindhadevi K, Pugazhendhi A. A review on biochar production techniques and biochar based catalyst for biofuel production from algae. Fuel 2021;287. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2020.119411.
- [169] Dharmalingam B, Balamurugan S, Wetwatana U, Tongnan V, Sekhar C, Paramasivam B, Cheenkachorn K, Tawai A, Sriariyanun M. Comparison of neural network and response surface methodology techniques on optimization of biodiesel production from mixed waste cooking oil using heterogeneous biocatalyst. Fuel 2023;340 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2023.127503
- biocatalyst. Fuel 2023;340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2023.127503.
 [170] Dehkhoda AM, West AH, Ellis N. Biochar based solid acid catalyst for biodiesel production. Appl Catal A: General 2010;382(2):197–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2010.04.051.
- [171] Behera B, Selvam SM, Dey B, Balasubramanian P. Algal biodiesel production with engineered biochar as a heterogeneous solid acid catalyst. Bioresour Technol 2020;310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.123392.
- [172] Wang S, Shan R, Wang Y, Lu L, Yuan H. Synthesis of calcium materials in biochar matrix as a highly stable catalyst for biodiesel production. Renew Energy 2019; 130:41–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.06.047.
- [173] Ghalandari V, Smith H, Volpe M, Messineo A, Reza T. Effect of acidic hydrochar on plastic crude oil produced from hydrothermal liquefaction of waste PVC. Processes 2022;10(12). https://doi.org/10.3390/pr10122538.
- [174] Renewable Energy Agency I. World Energy Transitions Outlook 2022: 1.5°C Pathway. 2022. www.irena.org.
- [175] Osman AI, Lai ZY, Farghali M, C.L Yiin, Elgarahy AM, Hammad A, Ihara I, Al-Fatesh AS, Rooney DW, Yap PS. Optimizing biomass pathways to bioenergy and biochar application in electricity generation, biodiesel production, and

biohydrogen production. Environ Chem Lett 2023. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-023-01613-2.

- [176] Levalley TL, Richard AR, Fan M. The progress in water gas shift and steam reforming hydrogen production technologies - A review. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2014;39(30):16983–7000. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.08.041.
- [177] Yao D, Hu Q, Wang D, Yang H, Wu C, Wang X, Chen H. Hydrogen production from biomass gasification using biochar as a catalyst/support. Bioresour Technol 2016;216:159–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.05.011.
- [178] Gasim MF, Lim JW, Low SC, Lin KYA, Oh DW. Can biochar and hydrochar be used as sustainable catalyst for persulfate activation? Chemosphere 2022;287. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132458.
- [179] Ma Z, Xiao R, Zhang H. Catalytic steam reforming of bio-oil model compounds for hydrogen-rich gas production using bio-char as catalyst. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2017;42(6):3579–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.11.107.
- [180] Afolabi ATF, Kechagiopoulos PN, Liu Y, Li CZ. Kinetic features of ethanol steam reforming and decomposition using a biochar-supported Ni catalyst. Fuel Process Technol 2021;212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2020.106622.
- [181] Harun K, Adhikari S, Jahromi H. Hydrogen production: via thermocatalytic decomposition of methane using carbon-based catalysts. RSC Adv 2020;10(67): 40882–93. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ra07440c.
- [182] Jafri N, Yoon LW, Wong WY, Cheah KH. Power generation from palm kernel shell biochar in a direct carbon fuel cell. SN Appl Sci 2020;2(3). https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s42452-020-2189-2.
- [183] Cao D, Sun Y, Wang G. Direct carbon fuel cell: fundamentals and recent developments. J Power Sources 2007;167(2):250–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jpowsour.2007.02.034.
- [184] Cui C, Li SJ, Gong, Wei K, Hou X, Jiang C, Yao Y, Ma J. Review of molten carbonate-based direct carbon fuel cells. Mater Renew and Sustain Energy 2021; 10(2). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40243-021-00197-7.

- [185] Yu J, Zhao Y, Li Y. Utilization of corn cob biochar in a direct carbon fuel cell. J Power Sources 2014;270:312–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ipowsour.2014.07.125.
- [186] Hao W, Luo P, Wu Z, Mi Y, Gao Z. The effect of biomass pyrolysis temperature on the performance of biochar-fed molten hydroxide direct carbon fuel cells. Biomass Bioenergy 2021;150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2021.106122.
- [187] Marcandalli G, Monteiro MCO, Goyal A, Koper MTM. Electrolyte effects on CO2 electrochemical reduction to CO. Acc Chem Res 2022;55(14):1900–11. https:// doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.2c00080.
- [188] Jafri N, Wong WY, Yoon LW, Cheah KH. Pretreated mesocarp fibre biochars as carbon fuel for direct carbon fuel cells. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2021;46(31): 16762–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.09.034.
- [189] Palniandy LK, Yoon LW, Wong WY, Yong ST, Pang MM. Application of Biochar derived from different types of biomass and treatment methods as a fuel source for direct carbon fuel cells. Energies 2019;12(13). https://doi.org/10.3390/ en12132477.
- [190] Konsolakis M, Kaklidis N, Marnellos GE, Zaharaki D, Komnitsas K. Assessment of biochar as feedstock in a direct carbon solid oxide fuel cell. RSC Adv 2015;5(90): 73399–409. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ra13409a.
- [191] Elleuch A, Boussetta A, Halouani K, Li Y. Experimental investigation of Direct Carbon Fuel Cell fueled by almond shell biochar: part II. Improvement of cell stability and performance by a three-layer planar configuration. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2013;38(36):16605–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. iihvdene.2013.07.061.
- [192] Padhye LP, Bandala ER, Wijesiri B, Goonetilleke A, Bolan N. Hydrochar: a promising step towards achieving a circular economy and sustainable development goals. Front Chem Eng 2022;4. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fceng.2022.867228.
- [193] Mau V, Gross A. Energy conversion and gas emissions from production and combustion of poultry-litter-derived hydrochar and biochar. Appl Energy 2018; 213:510–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.11.033.